

**ScienceDirect** 

# Protein folding transition path times from single molecule FRET Hoi Sung Chung and William A Eaton



The transition path is the tiny segment of a single molecule trajectory when the free energy barrier between states is crossed and for protein folding contains all of the information about the self-assembly mechanism. As a first step toward obtaining structural information during the transition path from experiments, single molecule FRET spectroscopy has been used to determine average transition path times from a photonby-photon analysis of fluorescence trajectories. These results, obtained for several different proteins, have already provided new and demanding tests that support both the accuracy of all-atom molecular dynamics simulations and the basic postulates of energy landscape theory of protein folding.

#### Address

Laboratory of Chemical Physics, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892-0520, United States

Corresponding authors: Chung, Hoi Sung [\(chunghoi@niddk.nih.gov\)](mailto:chunghoi@niddk.nih.gov), Eaton, William A ([eaton@helix.nih.gov](mailto:eaton@helix.nih.gov))

#### Current Opinion in Structural Biology 2018, 48:30–39

This review comes from a themed issue on Folding and binding in silico, in vitro and in cellula

Edited by Stefano Gianni and Amedeo Caflisch

For a complete overview see the [Issue](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/0959440X/48) and the [Editorial](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2018.01.014)

Available online 5th November 2017

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2017.10.007>

0959-440X/Published by Elsevier Ltd.

### Introduction

There have been several milestones over the past three decades in understanding how proteins fold that have created an active subfield of biological physics and biophysical chemistry. They have come from all three branches of science — experiment, theory and computation. Experimental advances have included the application of linear free energy relations and protein engineering to obtain structural information on the transition state ensemble [[1,2](#page-6-0)] and the introduction of nanosecond lasertriggering to dramatically improve time-resolution in kinetic experiments [[3–5\]](#page-6-0). Theoretical advances have included the statistical mechanical description of folding as diffusion on a low dimensional free energy surface with order parameters as coordinates [\[6–8](#page-7-0)], the development of theoretical models based on explicit consideration of only native contacts (i.e., intramolecular contacts present in the folded structure) [9,10,11,12 ] and the [application](#page-7-0) of concepts from polymer physics [\[13](#page-7-0)]. The most unexpected advance has been in the area of computation, specifically all-atom molecular dynamics (MD) simulations, in which Newton's equations of motion are solved for all heavy atoms of the polypeptide and solvent [\[14,15](#page-7-0)]. These simulations are now capable of folding a polypeptide from an initially disordered state into a protein with the correct structure for many small proteins  $[16\text{°}$ . [The](#page-7-0) importance of the MD simulations cannot be overstated. If accurate, and with trajectories that are long enough to observe a statistically sufficient number of folding/unfolding transitions, the simulations contain everything one would want to know on how a specific protein folds in atomistic detail. These simulations have already provided critical testing grounds for universal theoretical concepts  $[17$ <sup> $\bullet$ </sup>] and statistical [mechanical](#page-7-0) models of protein folding [18<sup>\*\*</sup>]. Therefore, new kinds of [experiments](#page-7-0) that further test the accuracy of these simulations are extremely important. One such class of experiments employs single molecule fluorescence spectroscopy, with the ultimate goal of watching the evolution of structure for individual molecules as they self-assemble on transition paths. In this article we describe the first steps toward this goal using single molecule Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) spectroscopy that have allowed determination of the average duration of transition paths from photon trajectories.

First, what is a transition path? The transition path corresponds to a successful reactant to product crossing of the free energy barrier separating states (free energy minima). For folding of a protein with just two populated states — unfolded and folded — [Figure](#page-1-0) 1 shows that the transition path corresponds to the segment of the molecular trajectory from unfolded to folded for which a position  $q_U$  close to the unfolded minimum on the reaction coordinate q is crossed and reaches position  $q_F$  close to the folded minimum without ever re-crossing  $q_U$  [[19\]](#page-7-0). Consequently, in this case, the transition path contains all of the structural information on how the unfolded polypeptide self-assembles to form the folded, biologically active structure. Since the transition path is a property of an individual molecule, it can only be observed by watching one molecule at a time. The zeroth order question about transition paths, then, is: what is the average duration of the barrier crossing, that is, what is the average transition path time? What we have learned from the experimental determination of this time using single molecule FRET is the subject of this article.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>



Free-energy surface of protein folding. Protein folding can be described as diffusion on a low-dimensional free energy surface with order parameters as coordinates and has been described successfully as diffusion on a 1D free energy surface, here projected onto the coordinate q. For a two-state protein, the folded and unfolded states are separated by a free energy barrier with the height of  $\Delta G_f$ .  $(\omega_u)^2$ ,  $(\omega_d)^2$  and  $(\omega_1)^2$  are curvatures at the bottom of the unfolded and  $(\omega_l)^2$ , and  $(\omega^2)^2$ , are curvatures at the bottom of the unfolded and folded in  $\omega_l$ folded state wells and at the top of the barrier, respectively. An unfolded molecule spends the vast majority of time fluctuating in the unfolded well before making a very rapid folding transition over the barrier. The transition path is that part of the molecular trajectory that leaves a position  $q_U$  on the unfolded side of the barrier and reaches  $q_F$ on the folded side without re-crossing  $q_U$  (blue portion of the trajectory). The transition path appears as a near-instantaneous jump in a binned FRET efficiency trajectory (Bottom).

#### Definition of transition path time

In single molecule experiments it is important to distinguish between the average folding time,  $t_f$  (the inverse of the rate coefficient for folding,  $k_f$ ) and the average transition path time,  $t_{TP}$ . Unlike the average folding and unfolding times, the average transition path time is the same for folding and unfolding. The folding time is the average time that the molecule spends in the unfolded state before a successful barrier crossing to the folded state, which appears as an almost instantaneous jump in the trajectory in Figure 1, while the unfolding time  $(t<sub>u</sub>)$  is the average time that the molecule spends in the folded state before crossing the barrier to the unfolded state. These waiting times are exponentially distributed and their average is the inverse of the corresponding rate coefficients ( $k_f$  and  $k_u$ ; note that in ensemble experiments, the observed rate is the sum of the two rate coefficients, while in single molecule experiments they are determined individually). The transition path time, on the other hand, is the time actually spent in a successful barrier crossing (the apparent 'jump' in [Figure](#page-2-0) 2a).

In addition to the large difference in time scales, a major difference between folding times and transition path times is their dependence on the height of the free energy barrier (Figure 1). The folding time is given by the Kramers' theory [[20\]](#page-7-0) as

$$
t_f = \frac{1}{k_f} = \frac{2\pi}{\beta D^* \omega^* \omega_u} \exp(\beta \Delta G_f^*),\tag{1}
$$

where  $D^*$  is the diffusion coefficient at the barrier top,  $(\omega)^2$  and  $(\omega_u)^2$  are the curvatures of the free energy<br>surface at the top of the barrier and bottom of the surface at the top of the barrier and bottom of the unfolded well, respectively,  $\Delta G_f^*$  is the barrier height,<br> $\beta = 1/k_p T / k_p$  is the Boltzmann constant, and T is the  $\beta = 1/k_B T$ ,  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant, and T is the absolute temperature. With the same one-dimensional (1D) diffusion theory, the average transition path time (for a high parabolic barrier) is [[19,21](#page-7-0) ]

$$
t_{TP} = \frac{1}{\beta D^*(\omega^*)^2} \ln(2e^{\gamma} \beta \Delta G_f^*),\tag{2}
$$

where  $\gamma$  (= 0.577 ...) is Euler's constant. These two equations are similar in that both times are inversely proportional to the diffusion coefficient  $D^*$  and depend on the free energy barrier height  $\Delta G_f^*$ . However, the folding time is extremely sensitive to the barrier height folding time is extremely sensitive to the barrier height (exponentially dependent) while the transition path time is insensitive (logarithmically dependent).

The justification for using Eqs.  $(1)$  and  $(2)$  for onedimensional free energy surfaces began with results of early simulations of folding lattice model representations of proteins [\[22](#page-7-0)]. These simulations showed that the rates of folding could be accurately reproduced by Kramers' theory for escape over a one-dimensional free energy barrier with the fraction of native contacts  $(0)$  as the reaction coordinate. Calculation of the barrier height from the relative populations of structures (the potential of mean force) for a given Q, and the decay time for the  $Q-Q$ correlation function in the unfolded well as the Kramers pre-exponential factor, resulted in rates within a factor of 2 of the mean first passage times to the folded state observed in the simulations [[22\]](#page-7-0). It is an enormous simplification to project the many degrees of freedom onto this one-dimensional picture, so the results of the lattice simulation were quite surprising. Subsequently,

<span id="page-2-0"></span>



Photon (Bottom), binned fluorescence (Middle) and FRET efficiency (Top) trajectories simulated with (a) high (500 ms<sup>-1</sup>) and (b) low (10 ms<sup>-1</sup>) photon count rates and the FRET efficiencies of 0.7 and 0.3. The FRET efficiency (E) is the fraction of photons in a bin that are emitted by the acceptor  $(E = n_A/(n_A + n_D))$ , where  $n_A$  is the number of acceptor photons and  $n_D$  is the number of donor photons). The bin time of the FRET efficiency and fluorescence trajectories is 0.5 ms. Purple vertical dashed lines indicate positions of transition between folded and unfolded states. Transition time points are the same in (a) and (b). The photon trajectory in (a) shows photons near the first transition (yellow shaded area in the binned trajectory).

all-atom MD simulations have shown that the one-dimensional free energy surface with an order parameter as reaction coordinate is indeed sufficient to describe both equilibria, that is, the number and relative free energy of populated minima, and the kinetics [23 ]. [That](#page-7-0) it is possible to represent the dynamics of a system with so many degrees of freedom as diffusion on a one-dimensional surface may be a consequence of the fraction of native contacts <sup>Q</sup> being <sup>a</sup> good reaction coordinate, owing to the dominant role of native contacts in determining folding mechanism  $[17$  $[17$ <sup> $\degree$ </sup>].

### Determination of transition path times from single molecule FRET

Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) [\[24](#page-7-0)] has been the most useful single molecule fluorescence method [\[25–27](#page-7-0)] for studying protein folding [21 [,28–59\]](#page-7-0). Single molecule FRET has also made numerous contributions toward understanding the structure and dynamics of unfolded and intrinsically disordered proteins (see  $[60\text{°}]$  for recent review). In FRET [experiments,](#page-8-0) a protein molecule is labeled at specific positions with one donor and one acceptor fluorophore. For observing folding/ unfolding trajectories, the molecule is immobilized on a coated glass surface. After laser excitation of the donor, emission of both the donor or, if the excitation energy has been transferred, of the acceptor is detected. Since the transfer efficiency  $(E)$  depends on the distance  $(R)$ 

between the two fluorophores  $[E = R_0^6 / (R_0^6 + R^6)$ , where<br>the constant  $R_0$  is the Förster radiusl folded and unfolded the constant  $R_0$  is the Förster radius], folded and unfolded states are distinguished by the higher FRET efficiency for the folded molecule compared to the unfolded molecule. By performing experiments near the denaturation mid-point, the trajectory contains a roughly equal number of folding and unfolding transitions. If the waiting times are sufficiently long and the photon detection rate is sufficiently high, as in Figure  $2(a)$ , the photons can be binned and the FRET efficiency for each bin is plotted as a function of time. This FRET efficiency trajectory readily yields the individual rate coefficients for folding and unfolding. On the other hand, for waiting times that are too short or photon detection ratesthat are too low, the FRET efficiency trajectory is too noisy to obtain any information about rate coefficients (Figure 2(b)). In this case, the unbinned photon trajectory must be analyzed [\[37](#page-7-0)]. According to MD simulations, transition path times are in the nanosecond-microsecond time scale, which poses an enormous challenge for single molecule FRET experiments  $[16\text{°}']$ . [Consequently,](#page-7-0) acquisition of data sufficient to obtain even average transition path times requires photon trajectories with the highest possible detection rate and a robust method of analysis.

Szabo and Gopich have developed a very powerful maximum likelihood method for analyzing such photon trajectories  $[21^{\bullet}, 61^{\bullet\bullet}, 62]$ . The analysis with their method is

considerably simplified if a model has already been firmly established in ensemble measurements. Given the number of states contained in the trajectory, the method determines the most likely parameters of the model (FRET efficiencies and rate coefficients) that best reproduce the photon trajectory. For the kinetics of a two-state model, for example, the 4 parameters are the folding and unfolding rate coefficients and the FRET efficiencies for the folded and unfolded states. To obtain average transition path times, a third virtual state is introduced with a FRET efficiency that is assumed to be midway between the folded and unfolded state. The only additional parameter to add to this model is the lifetime of the virtual state, which corresponds to the average transition path time [63<sup>••</sup>]. The [likelihood](#page-8-0) of a two-state model, which implicitly assumes that the transition path time is instantaneous, is then compared with the likelihood of a three-state model with a finite lifetime for the virtual intermediate. If the plot of the difference in log likelihoods for the twostate and three-state models versus assumed lifetime for the virtual intermediate shows a statistically significant difference at a peak in the likelihood-time plot, the value of the assumed lifetime at the peak is the average transition path time. If there is no statistically significant peak, an upper bound for the average transition path time can be determined.

[Figure](#page-4-0) 3 shows the results for three two-state proteins the 35-residue all- $\beta$  WW domain [63<sup>••</sup>], the [56-residue](#page-8-0)  $\alpha/\beta$  protein GB1 [63<sup>\*</sup>], and the [73-residue,](#page-8-0) designed all- $\alpha$ protein  $\alpha_3 D$  [43,64<sup>°</sup>]. The WW [domain](#page-8-0) is a fast folder  $(t_f = 100 \,\mu s)$ , so to slow the rates and increase the transition path times making them more easily measurable, the experiments were performed at a viscosity  $(\eta)$  10-times higher than water. After correcting for the higher viscosity, the average transition path time in water at  $22^{\circ}$ C was determined to be 1.6  $\mu s$  [63<sup> $\cdot \cdot$ </sup>]. No [viscogen](#page-8-0) was necessary to resolve the average transition path time for  $\alpha_3D$ , for which the folding time at 22  $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$  is 3 ms and the average transition path time is  $12 \mu s$ . There were too few transitions to determine the average transition path time for the slow folding protein GB1 with a folding time of 1 s. However, the analysis gave the initially surprising result that it must be less than 10  $\mu s$  [\[63](#page-8-0)<sup> $\cdot \cdot$ </sup>].

#### Comparison of transition path times with theory and simulations

Even though only average transition path times were determined, the results turn out to be quite interesting and important. First is the excellent agreement of  $t_{TP}$ determined from the experiments and those observed in the simulations. After correcting for the higher temperature and lower viscosity of the water in the MD simulations,  $t_{TP}$  simulations for a WW domain with the same structure, but different sequence, is  $1.5 \mu s$  compared to 1.6  $\mu$ s from the experiments  $[16\text{''},63\text{''}]$ . In the [case](#page-7-0) of  $\alpha_3$ D, the measurement of the temperature dependence of  $t_{TP}$  allowed extrapolation to the 370 K temperature of the simulations. The experimental value is  $1.7 - 2.3 \,\mu s$ , while the simulation  $t_{TP}$  is  $\sim 1.3 \mu s$  after a viscosity  $(\eta^{0.3})$ ; see below) correction [[43\]](#page-8-0). From this comparison, one can conclude that the experiments and simulations one can conclude that the experiments and simulations are mutually consistent.

The transition path times are remarkably similar for the WW domain and protein GB1 even though their folding rates differ by  $\sim 10^4$ -fold (100 µs verses 1 s). Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0) readily explains this result by showing that  $t_{TP}$  is insensitive to the free energy barrier height, which is the primary factor in determining  $t_f$  in Eq. [\(1\).](#page-1-0) Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0) was derived with the very same assumptions of Kramers theory, namely that the rate of escape over the barrier top is determined by diffusion of a Brownian particle on a onedimensional free energy surface. Given the importance of the one-dimensional diffusion picture for describing protein folding kinetics [\[6](#page-7-0)], the finding of similar transition path times for the fast and slow folder provides additional support for this theoretical description.

Determination of free energy barrier heights has always been a challenging problem for experiments [\[65](#page-8-0)].  $\alpha_3D$ presented a unique opportunity. When both the folding and transition path times are known, equations 1 and 2 show that the ratio of these two quantities allows the determination of the free energy barrier height,  $\Delta G_f^*$ , if the ratio  $\omega^*/\omega_u$  is known.  $\omega^*/\omega_u$  has not yet been determined by experiments, but the success of the MD simulations in reproducing experimental folding mechanisms [[66](#page-8-0)] suggests that the simulated ratio of  $\sim$ 1.3 can be used, which results in a value  $\Delta G_f^* = 4.2 \pm 1.0$   $k_B T$  at the midpoint denaturant concentration [\[43](#page-8-0)]. From the denaturant dependence of the folding rate [[37\]](#page-7-0), it is predicted that the folding barrier height is close to zero in the absence of denaturant, consistent with the theoretical prediction that free energy barriers in protein folding can become quite small and even disappear [\[6](#page-7-0)].

The folding time for the WW domain is proportional to the first power of the viscosity  $(t_f \propto \eta)$ , as expected from the results for a  $\beta$  hairpin [\[67](#page-8-0)] and for all- $\beta$  proteins [[68\]](#page-8-0), while the dependence of both the folding time and average transition path time for  $\alpha_3D$  at neutral pH is extremely weak,  $t \propto \eta^{\alpha}$ , with  $\alpha = 0.2$  for  $t_f$  and  $\alpha = 0.3$  for  $t_{\text{cm}}$ . Subsequent experiments at low pH, where the salt  $t_{TP}$ . Subsequent experiments at low pH, where the salt bridges involving aspartates and glutamates are eliminated by protonating the negatively charged carboxylic acid groups, showed that both  $t_f$  and  $t_{TP}$  markedly decrease  $[64^{\circ}]$ . At low [pH,](#page-8-0)  $t_f$  decreases over 10-fold and  $t_{\text{cm}}$  also decreases by a similar amount indicating that the  $t_{TP}$  also decreases by a similar amount, indicating that the pre-exponential factor in Kramers' Eq. (1) is responsible for the decrease in  $t_f$  rather than the barrier height. The low pH experiments also showed that  $\alpha$ , the exponent in the viscosity dependence of the folding time increases from 0.3 to 0.7, the value found for isolated  $\alpha$  helices [[67\]](#page-8-0).

<span id="page-4-0"></span>



Measurement of average transition path times of folding of the FBP28 WW domain (Left),  $\alpha_3$ D (Middle), and GB1 (Right). (a) Proteins are labeled with a donor (Alexa 488) and an acceptor (Alexa 594 or Alexa 647) dyes and immobilized on a polyethylene glycol-coated glass surface. (b) Binned donor and acceptor fluorescence trajectories (Top) (bin times of 50  $\mu$ s for the WW domain and  $\alpha_3$ D and 100  $\mu$ s for protein G) and photon trajectories near the folding transition (Bottom). (c) The transition path time is determined by analyzing photon trajectories near transitions using the maximum likelihood method with the three-state model. The average transition path time ( $t_{TP}$ ) is equal to the lifetime of a virtual intermediate state S ( $t_{\rm S}$ ), which is determined from the maximum of the difference of the log likelihood,  $\Delta \ln L = \ln L(r_s) - \ln L(0)$ . L(0) is the likelihood for the two-state model, in which transitions are instantaneous ( $\tau_s$  = 0). The transition path time of the WW domain is 16  $\mu$ s (at the viscosity of 10 cP). The transition path time of  $\alpha_3$ D (12  $\mu$ s) can be measured without increasing solvent viscosity because its diffusion on the one-dimensional free energy surface is much slower than those of the WW domain and protein GB1. Only the upper bound for the transition path time of 10  $\mu$ s can be determined for GB1 because no peak is observed. Figure is adapted from Refs. [63<sup>\*</sup>] [and](#page-8-0) [\[43](#page-8-0)].

The exponent less than 1.0 has been explained by dihedral angle rotations occurring faster than the solvent relaxation time [\[67–69](#page-8-0)], which is a breakdown of Kramers' theory in which solvent relaxation is assumed to be instantaneous and therefore  $\alpha = 1.0$ .

Extensive MD simulations were performed, which are consistent with the experimental results in that both  $t_{TP}$ and  $t_f$  decrease at low pH in spite of an increase in the free energy barrier height, because the diffusion coefficient  $D^*$  increases [64<sup>\*</sup>]. [Moreover,](#page-8-0) as found in the experiments the viscosity dependence of both  $tr_{R}$  and  $tr_{R}$ ments, the viscosity dependence of both  $t_{TP}$  and  $t_f$ increase at low pH. The experimental and simulation results both point to a rougher underlying energy landscape for  $\alpha_3D$  at neutral pH compared to the WW domain and protein GB1, confirming a key prediction on the difference in roughness between evolved and designed protein landscapes  $[70,71$  $[70,71$ <sup>\*</sup>].

The pre-exponential factor in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) is usually ignored in interpreting rate coefficients because of its much greater sensitivity to  $\Delta G^*$  than to  $D^*$ . However, it should be pointed out that the pre-exponential factor provides an estimate of the fastest rate that a particular protein can fold, the so-called protein folding 'speed limit' [\[72\]](#page-8-0). Moreover, changes in the pre-exponential factor can potentially complicate the interpretation of  $\Phi$ -values (discussed below). The results for  $\alpha_3D$  provide a cautionary note.

### Significance of transition path experiments for understanding how proteins fold

The answer to the question — how do proteins fold? depends very much on the discipline of the scientist asking the question. Prior to the discovery of the role of chaperones [\[73,74](#page-9-0)], a biologist might argue that, since Anfinsen demonstrated proteins fold to a structure determined only by the amino acid sequence and do so spontaneously, there is no question and nothing more to know. A structural biologist or biochemist will of course want to know more, such as: what is the order of assembly of the various secondary structural elements, such as  $\alpha$ helices and  $\beta$  hairpins? A biophysical scientist, on the other hand, seeks universal principles and a theoretical model based on these principles that can quantitatively explain the results of equilibrium and kinetic experiments, as well as those of all-atom molecular dynamics calculations. Only then, is the assembly order of the parts explained or predicted by the model believable.

To appreciate the importance of transition paths for understanding how proteins fold from this biophysical perspective, it is first instructive to point out what has been meant by a protein folding mechanism. The early approach was similar to that of classical organic chemistry, namely determine the number and connectivity of intermediates in kinetic experiments in which the initial condition is the unfolded polypeptide. In this description, the mechanism is a simple sequence (often incorrectly cited as solving the Levinthal paradox [\[75](#page-9-0)]):  $U \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow I_2 \rightarrow \ldots N$ , with off pathway intermediates also permitted [[76\]](#page-9-0). Having identified the number of intermediates, the next step is to characterize their structure. There are two major problems with this scenario. One is that theoretical considerations and MD simulations clearly show that, if viewed at atomistic resolution, no two transition paths are identical. Consequently, all intermediates are enormously large ensembles of structures and folding must proceed via a comparably large ensemble of pathways. For this reason, comprehension of an assembly pathway must involve some level of coarse graining, so the number of pathways in the ensemble is a function of the extent of coarse graining. For example, if the mechanism of the 3-helical villin subdomain is described in terms of the order in which the 3 helices form on the transition path, all six possible pathways are populated according to both state-of-the art MD simulations  $[18\degree,77]$  $[18\degree,77]$  and an Ising-like theoretical model  $[18\degree,77]$  $[18\degree,77]$ .

The second problem with the sequential model,  $U \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow I_2 \rightarrow \ldots N$ , is that many single domain proteins exhibit no detectable intermediates. These socalled two-state proteins exhibit only folded and unfolded states at equilibrium and at all times in kinetic experiments  $[78]$  $[78]$ . In this case,  $\Phi$ -value analysis, in which the effect of a single amino acid replacement on rates and equilibrium constants is commonly used to infer the degree of native structure around the substituted amino acid in the transition state ensemble [\[1,2\]](#page-6-0). Although this experiment produces the only detailed structural information on the folding mechanism for two-state proteins, the information is for the *average* structural environment at a single position along the transition path, that is, the average degree of native structure in the transition state ensemble at the top of the free energy barrier.

Additional structural information on a two-state folding mechanism can be obtained from NMR experiments [79,80,81 ]. These [experiments](#page-9-0) delineate regions of the structure protected from hydrogen-deuterium (HD) exchange in high-lying free energy minima on the folded side of the free energy barrier. To translate the results of these equilibrium experiments into a kinetic mechanism, it is necessary to make the critical assumption that the order of, albeit average, structure formation is determined solely by the relative free energies of the minima. Given the success of a one-dimensional free energy surface description of folding with Q as the reaction coordinate discussed above, the assumption is not unreasonable. However, the order of structure formation is for the segment of the transition path on the folded side of the barrier, with no information on the more important segment between the unfolded state and the top of the free energy barrier, which describes how the protein reaches the barrier top (transition state).

<span id="page-6-0"></span>Finally, there is one case where the average properties of a transition path ensemble can be probed in temperaturejump experiments. It is for two-state proteins that fold without a barrier when the temperature is increased — so called 'downhill' or 'one-state' folders [\[82–86](#page-9-0)]. These proteins fold very fast and therefore require probes with high time-resolution, but they do have the potential of yielding considerable average three-dimensional structural information all along the transition path and not just at the top of the barrier, as in  $\Phi$ -value analysis, or on the folded side of the barrier, as in the HD exchange NMR experiments.

The above discussion points out why we have focused our single molecule FRET studies on measuring properties of transition paths.

#### Future directions

Although determining average transition path times is only the first step in the investigation of transition paths in protein folding, these experiments have already played a significant part in motivating numerous interesting theoretical investigations (see, for example, Ref. [\[87–91\]](#page-9-0)). For the slower transition path times found for nucleic acids, advances in single molecule force spectroscopy now permit resolution of the duration of a single barrier crossing, making it possible to obtain a complete distribution of transition path times  $[92,93$ <sup> $\bullet$ </sup>[,94,95,96,97\]](#page-9-0). This is more difficult for single molecule FRET experiments of proteins, where currently only the very longest individual transition path times can be observed (HS Chung and WA Eaton, unpublished results) because of bleaching of the fluorophores at the high illumination intensities that must be employed. So, to observe structure during an individual transition path will require methods that significantly decrease the excited state chemistry that destroys the fluorophores [[98,99](#page-9-0)]. With such improvements it should then be possible to obtain three-dimensional structural information during the transition path from an experiment in which 3 fluorophores are attached to the protein, which can yield 3 distances (Figure 4). Knowing three long-range distances as a function of time during the transition path may place sufficiently severe constraints on polypeptide conformations to provide a demanding test of transition paths calculated from simulations or theoretical models. With technical improvements, it should be fairly straightforward, as shown in Fig. 4, to determine the order of helix formation for helical proteins such as the villin subdomain, which has been predicted by both simulations and a theoretical model  $[18\text{°}$ . While this can [potentially](#page-7-0) be done for the average pathway by ensemble experiments, observation of the complete distribution, as predicted by simulations and a theoretical model, will require watching folding one molecule at a time.

#### Figure 4



Three-color FRET for observing three-dimensional structure during transition paths. Calling the 3 fluorophores donor (D), acceptor 1 (A1) and acceptor 2 (A2), the idea of the experiment is to alternately excite D and A1 with two different pulsed lasers, which can be done with simple time delays so each dye is excited once every 50 ns. Excitation of D results in transfer of the excitation energy to A1  $(E_1)$  and also, albeit less, directly to A2  $(E_2)$ . However, A1 can transfer excitation energy that it has received from D to A2  $(E_{12})$ . To determine directly the efficiency of transfer from A1 to A2, the second laser excites A1, which can only transfer excitation energy to A2. The alternating excitation therefore allows disentanglement of the results to yield 3 FRET efficiencies, D to A1, D to A2, and A1 to A2, thereby yielding 3 distances [\[100\]](#page-9-0). Because the protein is so small, the experiment will require development of fluorophores that have smaller  $R_0$ 's than those currently employed and are also more resistant to photochemistry.

## Conflicts of interest statement

None.

### Acknowledgements

We thank Robert Best and Attila Szabo for many helpful discussion and comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by the Intramural Research Program of NIDDK/NIH.

### References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest
- 1. Fersht AR, [Matouschek](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0505) A, Serrano L: The folding of an enzyme. 1. Theory of protein [engineering](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0505) analysis of stability and pathway of protein folding. J Mol Biol 1992, 224[:771-782.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0505)
- 2. Mallam AL, Jackson SE: Use of protein [engineering](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0510) techniques to elucidate protein folding [pathways](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0510). Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci 2008, 84[:57-113.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0510)
- 3. Jones CM, Henry ER, Hu Y, Chan CK, Luck SD, [Bhuyan](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0515) A, Roder H, [Hofrichter](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0515) J, Eaton WA: Fast events in protein folding initiated by [nanosecond](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0515) laser photolysis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1993, 90[:11860-11864.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0515)
- 4. [Gruebele](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0520) M: The fast protein folding problem. Ann Rev Phys Chem 1999, 50[:485-516.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0520)
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>5. Eaton WA, Munoz V, Hagen SJ, Jas GS, [Lapidus](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0525) LJ, Henry ER, Hofrichter J: Fast kinetics and [mechanisms](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0525) in protein folding. Ann Rev Biophys Biomol Struct 2000, 29[:327-359.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0525)
- 6. [Bryngelson](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0530) JD, Onuchic JN, Socci ND, Wolynes PG: Funnels, pathways, and the energy landscape of [protein-folding](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0530) – a synthesis. [Proteins-Struct](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0530) Funct Gen 1995, 21:167-195.
- 7. Onuchic JN, [Wolynes](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0535) PG: Theory of protein folding. Curr Opin Struct Biol 2004, 14[:70-75.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0535)
- 8. Shakhnovich E: Protein folding [thermodynamics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0540) and dynamics: where physics, [chemistry,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0540) and biology meet. Chem Rev 2006, 106[:1559-1588.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0540)
- 9. Munoz V, Eaton WA: A simple model for [calculating](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0545) the kinetics of protein folding from [three-dimensional](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0545) structures. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1999, 96[:11311-11316.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0545)
- 10. Portman JJ, Takada S, Wolynes PG: [Microscopic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0550) theory of protein folding rates. I. Fine [structure](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0550) of the free energy profile and folding routes from a [variational](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0550) approach. J Chem Phys 2001, 114[:5069-5081.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0550)
- 11. Kubelka J, Henry ER, Cellmer T, [Hofrichter](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0555) J, Eaton WA: Chemical, physical, and [theoretical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0555) kinetics of an ultrafast folding protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008, 105[:18655-18662.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0555)
- 12. Jacobs WM, Shakhnovich El: [Structure-based](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0560) prediction of

• **protein-rolding transition paths**. *Blophys J* 2016, TTT:925-936.<br>A theoretical model is developed for predicting transition path distribu[protein-folding](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0560) transition paths. Biophys J 2016, 111:925-936. tions based on contacts present in the native structure and a principle of substructure cooperativity. The model can be applied to much larger proteins than can be treated by the Ising-like model of Refs. [9,11] and  $[18$ <sup>..</sup>].

- 13. Thirumalai D, O'Brien EP, Morrison G, Hyeon C: [Theoretical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0565) [perspectives](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0565) on protein folding. Ann Rev Biophys 2010, 39: [159-183.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0565)
- 14. Lane TJ, Shukla D, Beauchamp KA, Pande VS: To [milliseconds](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0570) and beyond: [challenges](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0570) in the simulation of protein folding. Curr Opin Struct Biol 2013, 23[:58-65.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0570)
- 15. Piana S, Klepeis JL, Shaw DE: [Assessing](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0575) the accuracy of physical models used in [protein-folding](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0575) simulations: [quantitative](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0575) evidence from long molecular dynamics [simulations](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0575). Curr Opin Struct Biol 2014, 24:98-105.
- 16. [Lindorff-Larsen](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0580) K, Piana S, Dror RO, Shaw DE: How fast-folding proteins fold. Science 2011, 334[:517-520.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0580)

• proteins tota. Science 2011, 334:517-520.<br>This is a landmark paper showing that a special purpose computer<br>for performing all-atom molecular dynamics simulations can fold small, fast-folding proteins to the correct structure. The simulated equilibrium and kinetic properties are sufficiently close to experimental values that the transition paths found in the simulations should serve as a useful guide for designing future experiments to determine folding mechanisms. The simulations have also been important for testing assumptions employed in theoretical models (see comments concerning Refs.  $[17$ <sup>\*\*</sup>,18<sup>\*\*</sup>] and  $[23^{\circ}]$ ).

17. Best RB, Hummer G, Eaton WA: Native contacts [determine](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0585) • protein folding mechanisms in atomistic simulations. Proc Nati<br>Acad Sci U S A 2013, 110[:17874-17879.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0585)<br>Analysis of the simulations in Ref. [16""] uses two measures for comparing protein folding [mechanisms](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0585) in atomistic simulations. Proc Natl

the relative importance of native and non-native contacts during the transition path. The analysis shows that, while non-native contacts influence folding rates from their effect on barrier heights and reactioncoordinate diffusion coefficients, they play no significant part in determining folding mechanisms of these small, fast-folding proteins.

18.  $\bullet\bullet$ Henry ER, Best RB, Eaton WA: [Comparing](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0590) a simple theoretical model for protein folding with all-atom [molecular](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0590) dynamics simulations. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2013, 110[:17880-17885.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0590)

The simulations in Ref.  $[16^{•}$ ] provide justification for the two key assumptions of an Ising-like model that only native contacts need to be explicitly considered and that structure grows in just a few regions of the polypeptide. The distribution of transitions paths predicted by the model are remarkably similar to the distribution found in the simulations.

- 19. Hummer G: From [transition](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0595) paths to transition states and rate [coefficients](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0595). *J Chem Phys* 2004, 120:516-523.
- 20. Kramers HA: [Brownian](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0600) motion in a field of force and the diffusion model of chemical [reactions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0600). Physica 1940, VII: [284-304.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0600)
- 21. Chung HS, Gopich IV: Fast [single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0605) FRET
- $\cdot$ [spectroscopy:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0605) theory and experiment. Phys Chem Chem Phys 2014, 16[:18644-18657.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0605)

This paper gives an excellent review of practical issues in extracting dynamical parameters from single molecule photon trajectories using maximum likelihood methods. It also presents a derivation of the key Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0) of the text.

- 22. Socci ND, Onuchic JN, Wolvnes PG: Diffusive [dynamics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0610) of the reaction [coordinate](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0610) for protein folding funnels. J Chem Phys 1996, 104[:5860-5868.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0610)
- 23. Zheng WW, Best RB: [Reduction](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0615) of all-atom protein folding
- $\cdot$ dynamics to [one-dimensional](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0615) diffusion. J Phys Chem B 2015, 119[:15247-15255.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0615)

Analysis of the simulations in Ref.  $[16<sup>•</sup>]$  shows that rates and transition path times can be accurately described as diffusion on just a single coordinate, the fraction of native contacts (Q).

- 24. Stryer L, Haugland RP: Energy transfer: a [spectroscopic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0620) ruler. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1967, 58[:719-726.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0620)
- 25. Ha T: [Single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0625) fluorescence resonance energy transfer. [Methods](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0625) 2001, 25:78-86.
- 26. Schuler B, Lipman EA, [Steinbach](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0630) PJ, Kumke M, Eaton WA: Polyproline and the ["spectroscopic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0630) ruler" revisited with [single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0630) fluorescence. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005, 102[:2754-2759.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0630)
- 27. Best RB, [Merchant](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0635) KA, Gopich IV, Schuler B, Bax A, Eaton WA: Effect of flexibility and cis residues in [single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0635) FRET studies of [polyproline](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0635). Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007, 104[:18964-18969.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0635)
- 28. Lipman EA, Schuler B, Bakajin O, Eaton WA: [Single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0640) [measurement](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0640) of protein folding kinetics. Science 2003, 301[:1233-1235.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0640)
- 29. Rhoades E, Cohen M, Schuler B, Haran G: [Two-state](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0645) folding observed in individual protein [molecules](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0645). J Am Chem Soc 2004, 126[:14686-14687.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0645)
- 30. Hertzog DE, Michalet X, Jager M, Kong XX, [Santiago](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0650) JG, Weiss S, Bakajin O: Femtomole mixer for [microsecond](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0650) kinetic studies of protein folding. Anal Chem 2004, 76[:7169-7178.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0650)
- 31. Orte A, Craggs TD, White SS, Jackson SE, [Klenerman](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0655) D: Evidence of an [intermediate](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0655) and parallel pathways in protein unfolding from [single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0655) fluorescence. J Am Chem Soc 2008, 130[:7898-7907.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0655)
- 32. Ting CL, Makarov DE: [Two-dimensional](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0660) fluorescence [resonance](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0660) energy transfer as a probe for protein folding: a [theoretical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0660) study. J Chem Phys 2008, 128:115102.
- 33. Huang F, Ying LM, Fersht AR: Direct [observation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0665) of barrierlimited folding of BBL by [single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0665) fluorescence [resonance](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0665) energy transfer. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2009, 106[:16239-16244.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0665)
- 34. Allen LR, Paci E: Simulation of [fluorescence](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0670) resonance energy transfer [experiments:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0670) effect of the dyes on protein folding. J Phys Cond Matter 2010, 22[:235103.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0670)
- 35. Schuetz P, Wuttke R, Schuler B, Caflisch A: Free energy [surfaces](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0675) from [single-distance](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0675) information. J Phys Chem B 2010, 114[:15227-15235.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0675)
- 36. [Ebbinghaus](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0680) S, Dhar A, McDonald D, Gruebele M: Protein folding stability and [dynamics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0680) imaged in a living cell. Nat Methods 2010, 7[:319-323.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0680)
- 37. Chung HS, Gopich IV, [McHale](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0685) K, Cellmer T, Louis JM, Eaton WA: Extracting rate coefficients from [single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0685) photon trajectories and FRET efficiency histograms for a [fast-folding](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0685) protein. J Phys Chem A 2011, 115[:3642-3656.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0685)
- 38. Zhi ZY, Liu PC, Wang P, Huang YY, Zhao XS: [Domain-specific](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0690) folding kinetics of [staphylococcal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0690) nuclease observed through [single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0690) FRET in a microfluidic mixer. ChemPhysChem 2011, 12[:3515-3518.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0690)
- 39. Liu JW, Campos LA, Cerminara M, Wang X, [Ramanathan](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0695) R, English DS, Munoz V: Exploring [one-state](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0695) downhill protein folding in single [molecules](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0695). Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2012, 109[:179-184.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0695)
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>40. Ben Ishay E, Hazan G, Rahamim G, Amir D, Haas E: An [instrument](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0700) for fast acquisition of [fluorescence](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0700) decay curves at [picosecond](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0700) resolution designed for "double kinetics" experiments: application to [fluorescence](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0700) resonance [excitation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0700) energy transfer study of protein folding. Rev Sci Inst 2012, 83[:084301.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0700)
- 41. Yang LL, Kao [MWP,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0705) Chen HL, Lim TS, Fann WS, Chen RPY: Observation of protein [folding/unfolding](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0705) dynamics of ubiquitin trapped in agarose gel by [single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0705) FRET. Eur Biophys J Biophys Lett 2012, 41[:189-198.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0705)
- 42. Chung HS, Cellmer T, Louis JM, Eaton WA: [Measuring](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0710) ultrafast protein folding rates from [photon-by-photon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0710) analysis of single [moleculefluorescencetrajectories](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0710).ChemPhys2013,422:229-237.
- 43. Chung HS, Eaton WA: [Single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0715) fluorescence probes [dynamics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0715) of barrier crossing. Nature 2013, 502:685-688.
- 44. [Wunderlich](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0720) B, Nettels D, Benke S, Clark J, Weidner S, Hofmann H, Pfeil SH, Schuler B: [Microfluidic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0720) mixer designed for performing [single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0720) kinetics with confocal detection on timescales from [milliseconds](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0720) to minutes. Nat Protoc 2013, 8[:1459-1474.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0720)
- 45. Kathuria SV, Kayatekin C, Barrea R, [Kondrashkina](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0725) E, Graceffa R, Guo L, Nobrega RP, [Chakravarthy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0725) S, Matthews CR, Irving TC *et al.:*<br>**Microsecond [barrier-limited](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0725) chain collapse observed by time**resolved FRET and SAXS. J Mol Biol 2014, 426[:1980-1994.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0725)
- 46. Orevi T, Lerner E, Rahamim G, Amir D, Haas E: [Ensemble](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0730) and [Single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0730) detected time-resolved FRET methods in studies of protein [conformations](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0730) and dynamics. In Fluorescence [Spectroscopy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0730) and Microscopy: Methods and Protocols. Edited by Engelborghs Y, Visser A. [2014:113-169.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0730) Methods in [Molecular](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0730) Biology, vol 1076.
- 47. Borgia A, Kemplen KR, Borgia MB, Soranno A, [Shammas](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0735) S, [Wunderlich](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0735) B, Nettels D, Best RB, Clarke J, Schuler B: Transient **misfolding dominates [multidomain](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0735) protein folding**. Nat<br>[Commun](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0735) 2015, **6**:8861.
- 48. Hazan NP, Tomov TE, [Tsukanov](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0740) R, Liber M, Berger Y, Masoud R, Toth K, Langowski J, Nir E: [Nucleosome](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0740) core particle [disassembly](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0740) and assembly kinetics studied using singlemolecule [fluorescence](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0740). Biophys J 2015, 109:1676-1685
- 49. Kim SE, Lee IB, Hyeon C, Hong SC: [Deciphering](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0745) kinetic information from [single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0745) FRET data that show slow transitions. J Phys Chem B 2015, 119[:6974-6978.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0745)
- 50. [Ramanathan](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0750) R, Munoz V: A method for extracting the free energy surface and [conformational](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0750) dynamics of fast-folding proteins from single molecule photon [trajectories](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0750). J Phys Chem B 2015, 119[:7944-7956.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0750)
- 51. [Jiang](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0755) LG, Zeng Y, Sun QQ, Sun YR, Guo ZH, Qu JNY, Yao SHA: Microsecond protein folding events revealed by [time-resolved](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0755) [fluorescence](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0755) resonance energy transfer in a microfluidic mixer. Anal Chem 2015, 87[:5589-5595.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0755)
- 52. Takahashi S, [Kamagata](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0760) K, Oikawa H: Where the complex things are: single molecule and ensemble [spectroscopic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0760) [investigations](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0760) of protein folding dynamics. Curr Opin Struct Biol [2016,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0760) 36:1-9.
- 53. Tian PF, Best RB: Structural [determinants](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0765) of misfolding in [multidomain](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0765) proteins. PLoS Comp Biol 2016, 12:e1004933.
- 54. Maity H, Reddy G: Folding of protein L with [implications](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0770) for collapse in the [denatured](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0770) state ensemble. J Am Chem Soc 2016, 138[:2609-2616.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0770)
- 55. Holmstrom ED, Nesbitt DJ: [Biophysical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0775) insights from [temperature-dependent](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0775) single-molecule forster resonance energy transfer. Ann Rev Phys Chem 2016, 67[:441-465.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0775)
- 56. Haran G: Single-molecule fluorescence spectroscopy of the folding of a repeat protein introduction. Single-Molecule *Fluorescence Spectroscopy of the Folding of a Repeat Protein.*<br>Springer Theses-Recognizing Outstanding PhD Research; 2016:1-11 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-09558-5\\_1.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-09558-5_1)
- 57. Stockmar F, Kobitski AY, Nienhaus GU: Fast folding [dynamics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0785) of an [intermediate](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0785) state in RNase H measured by single[molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0785) FRET. J Phys Chem B 2016, 120:641-649.
- 58. Chung HS, Louis JM, Gopich IV: Analysis of [fluorescence](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0790) lifetime and energy transfer efficiency in [single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0790) photon trajectories of [fast-folding](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0790) proteins. J Phys Chem B 2016, 120[:680-699.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0790)
- 59. Dingfelder F, [Wunderlich](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0795) B, Benke S, Zosel F, Zijlstra N, Nettels D, Schuler B: Rapid microfluidic [double-jump](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0795) mixing device for [single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0795) spectroscopy. J Am Chem Soc 2017, 139[:6062-6065.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0795)
- 60. Schuler B, Soranno A, Hofmann H, Nettels D: [Single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0800)  $\bullet\bullet$ FRET [spectroscopy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0800) and the polymer physics of unfolded and [intrinsically](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0800) disordered proteins. Ann Rev Biophys 2016, 45: [207-231.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0800)

This paper is an excellent review of the many contributions that single molecule FRET spectroscopy, in combination with the application of polymer physics theory, has made to understanding structure distributions and dynamics of unfolded proteins and intrinsically disordered proteins. The most important contributions have come from the Schuler group.

#### 61. Gopich IV, Szabo A: [Decoding](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0805) the pattern of photon colors in [single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0805) FRET. J Phys Chem B 2009, 113:10965-10973.

ᢟ This is the key paper describing the original work by Gopich and Szabo on the development of their maximum likelihood method to extract rates of conformational transitions in single molecule FRET experiments by analyzing the sequence of photon colors and the time interval between their detection.

- 62. Gopich IV: Accuracy of [maximum](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0810) likelihood estimates of a two-state model in [single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0810) FRET. J Chem Phys 2015, 142[:034110.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0810)
- 63. Chung HS, McHale K, Louis JM, Eaton WA: [Single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0815)  $\bullet\bullet$ [fluorescence](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0815) experiments determine protein folding transition path times. Science 2012, 335[:981-984.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0815)

This paper describes the first determination of the average transition path time for a molecular process. The average time is found to differ by less than a factor of 6 for two proteins with folding rates that differ by 10 000 fold.

64.  $\cdot$ Chung HS, [Piana-Agostinetti](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0820) S, Shaw DE, Eaton WA: Structural<br>origin of slow [diffusion](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0820) in protein folding. Science 2015, 349[:1504-1510.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0820)

By employing single molecule FRET and MD simulations, this work showed that the formation of non-native salt bridges during folding transitions causes slow diffusion of a designed protein  $\alpha_3D$  on a 1D free energy surface.

- 65. [Godoy-Ruiz](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0825) R, Henry ER, Kubelka J, Hofrichter J, Munoz V, [Sanchez-Ruiz](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0825) JM, Eaton WA: Estimating free-energy barrier heights for an ultrafast folding protein from [calorimetric](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0825) and kinetic data. J Phys Chem B 2008, 112[:5938-5949.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0825)
- 66. Best RB, Hummer G: Microscopic [interpretation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0830) of folding phivalues using the transition path [ensemble](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0830). Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2016, 113[:3263-3268.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0830)
- 67. Jas GS, Eaton WA, [Hofrichter](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0835) J: Effect of viscosity on the kinetics of alpha-helix and [beta-hairpin](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0835) formation. J Phys Chem B 2001, 105[:261-272.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0835)
- 68. Zheng WW, De Sancho D, Hoppe T, Best RB: [Dependence](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0840) of internal friction on folding [mechanism](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0840). J Am Chem Soc 2015, 137[:3283-3290.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0840)
- 69. de Sancho D, Sirur A, Best RB: [Molecular](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0845) origins of internal friction effects on [protein-folding](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0845) rates. Nat Commun 2014, 5[:4307.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0845)
- 70. Sadqi M, de Alba E, [Perez-Jimenez](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0850) R, Sanchez-Ruiz JM, Munoz V: A designed protein as [experimental](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0850) model of primordial folding. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2009, 106[:4127-4132.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0850)
- 71. Ferreiro DU, Komives EA, Wolynes PG: **[Frustration](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0855) in**<br>[biomolecules](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0855). Quart Rev Biophys 2014, 47:285-363.

ᢟ The concept of frustation is described and shown how it contributes to a deeper understanding of protein structure, function, and folding. An example of a quantitative statement of the principle of minimal frustration is that the free energy gap between folded and folded states is sufficiently large that most proteins fold without being trapped in free energy wells corresponding to misfolded states.

72. Kubelka J, [Hofrichter](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0860) J, Eaton WA: The protein folding 'speed limit'. Curr Opin Struct Biol 2004, 14[:76-88.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0860)

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>73. Goloubinoff P, Gatenby AA, Lorimer GH: GroEL [heat-shock](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0865) protein promotes assembly of foreign [prokaryotic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0865) ribulose [biphosphate](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0865) carboxylase oligomers in Escherichia coli. Nature 1989, 337[:44-47.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0865)
- 74. Cheng MY, Hartl FU, Martin J, Pollock RA, [Kalousek](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0870) F, Neupert W, Hallberg EM, Hallberg RL, Horwich AL: [Mictochondrial](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0870) heatshock protein HSP60 is essential for [assembly](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0870) of proteins imported into yeast [mitochondria](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0870). Nature 1989, 337:620-625.
- 75. Baldwin RL: Clash between energy [landscape](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0875) theory and [foldon-dependent](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0875) protein folding. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2017, 114[:8442-8443.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0875)
- 76. Kim PS, Baldwin RL: [Intermediates](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0880) in the folding reactions of small proteins. Ann Rev Biochem 1990, 59[:631-660.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0880)
- 77. Piana S, [Lindorff-Larsen](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0885) K, Shaw DE: How robust are protein folding [simulations](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0885) with respect to force field<br>[parameterization?](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0885) Biophys J 2011, 100:L47-L49.
- 78. Jackson SE, Fersht AR: Folding of [chymotrypsin](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0890) inhibitor-2, 1. Evidence for a 2-state transition. [Biochemistry](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0890) 1991, 30[:10428-10435.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0890)
- 79. Bai YW, Sosnick TR, Mayne L, [Englander](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0895) SW: Protein folding [intermediates-native](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0895) state hydrogen exchange. Science 1995, 269[:192-197.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0895)
- 80. [Englander](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0900) SW, Mayne L: The case for defined protein folding pathways. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2017, 114[:8253-8258.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0900)
- 81. Eaton WA, Wolynes PG: Theory, simulations and [experiments](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0905)  $\bullet$ show that proteins fold by multiple [pathways](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0905). Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2017. (in [press\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0905)

This Letter very briefly discusses how Ref. [80] misrepresents or largely ignores 30 years of progress in protein-folding theory.

- 82. Sabelko J, Ervin J, Gruebele M: [Observation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0910) of strange kinetics in protein folding. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1999, 96[:6031-6036.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0910)
- 83. Eaton WA: Searching for "downhill [scenarios"](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0915) in protein folding. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1999, 96:5897-589
- 84. Garcia-Mira MM, Sadqi M, Fischer N, [Sanchez-Ruiz](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0920) JM, Munoz V: [Experimental](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0920) identification of downhill protein folding. Science 2002, 298[:2191-2195.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0920)
- 85. Sadqi M, Fushman D, Munoz V: [Atom-by-atom](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0925) analysis of global downhill protein folding. Nature 2006, 442[:317-321.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0925)
- 86. Munoz V, [Cerminara](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0930) M: When fast is better: protein folding [fundamentals](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0930) and mechanisms from ultrafast approaches Biochem J 2016, 473[:2545-2559.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0930)
- 87. [Chaudhury](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0935) S, Makarov DE: A harmonic transition state [approximation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0935) for the duration of reactive events in complex molecular [rearrangements](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0935). J Chem Phys 2010, 133:034118.
- 88. Orland H: [Generating](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0940) transition paths by Langevin bridges. J Chem Phys 2011, 134[:174114.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0940)
- 89. Kim WK, Netz RR: The mean shape of [transition](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0945) and first[passage](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0945) paths. J Chem Phys 2015, 143:224108.
- 90. Daldrop JO, Kim WK, Netz RR: [Transition](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0950) paths are hot. Eurphys Lett 2016, 113[:18004.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0950)
- 91. Makarov DE: [Reconciling](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0955) transition path time and rate [measurements](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0955) in reactions with large entropic barriers. J Chem Phys 2017, 146[:071101.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0955)
- 92. Zhang BW, Jasnow D, Zuckerman DM: [Transition-event](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0960) durations in [one-dimensional](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0960) activated processes. J Chem Phys 2007, 126[:074504.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0960)
- 93. Neupane K, Foster DAN, Dee DR, Yu H, Wang F, [Woodside](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0965) MT:  $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ Direct [observation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0965) of transition paths during the folding of proteins and nucleic acids. Science 2016, 352[:239-242.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0965)

This work represents a major advance in the investigation of transition paths in biomolecular folding. By improving the time resolution of optical tweezer experiments, the authors are able to resolve the duration of individual transition paths for folding a DNA hairpin and thereby measure a complete distribution of transition path times. By extracting the free energy profile from their data, together with rates and transition path times, the authors confirm the validity of Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0) [94].

- Truex K, Chung HS, Louis JM, Eaton WA: Testing [landscape](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0970) theory for [biomolecular](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0970) processes with single molecule fluorescence [spectroscopy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0970). Phys Rev Lett 2015, 115:018101.
- 95. Pollak E: Transition path time [distribution](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0975) and the transition path free energy barrier. Phys Chem Chem Phys 2016, 18[:28872-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0975) [28882.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0975)
- 96. Satija R, Das A, Makarov DE: [Transition](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0980) path times reveal memory effects and [anomalous](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0980) diffusion in the dynamics of protein folding. J Chem Phys 2017, 147[:152707.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0980)
- 97. Laleman M, Carlon E, Orland H: Transition path time [distributions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0985). 2017. [arXiv:1708.04487.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0985)
- 98. Campos LA, Liu JW, Wang X, [Ramanathan](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0990) R, English DS, Munoz V: A [photoprotection](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0990) strategy for microsecondresolution [single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0990) fluorescence spectroscopy. Nat Methods 2011, 8[:143-163.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0990)
- 99. Zheng QS, Juette MF, Jockusch S, [Wasserman](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0995) MR, Zhou Z,<br>Altman RB, Blanchard SC: Ultra-stable organic [fluorophores](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0995) for [single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref0995) research. Chem Soc Rev 2014, 43:1044-1056.
- 100. Chung HS, Meng F, Kim J-Y, [McHale](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref1000) K, Gopich IV, Louis JM: [Oligomerization](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref1000) of the tetramerization domain of p53 probed by two- and three-color [single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref1000) FRET. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2017, 114[:E6812-E6821.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(17)30122-7/sbref1000)