Co-translational folding allows misfolding-prone proteins to circumvent deep kinetic traps

Amir Bitrana,b**, William M. Jacobs**^c **, Xiadi Zhai**a**, and Eugene Shakhnovich**^a

^a Harvard University Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology; ^b Harvard University Program in Biophysics; ^c Princeton University Department of Chemistry

This manuscript was compiled on July 31, 2019

Many large proteins suffer from slow or inefficient folding *in vitro***. Here, we provide evidence that this problem can be alleviated** *in vivo* **if proteins start folding co-translationally. Using an all-atom simulation-based algorithm, we compute the folding properties of various large protein domains as a function of nascent chain length, and find that for certain proteins, there exists a narrow window of lengths that confers both thermodynamic stability and fast folding kinetics. Beyond these lengths, folding is drastically slowed by non-native interactions involving C-terminal residues. Thus, cotranslational folding is predicted to be beneficial because it allows proteins to take advantage of this optimal window of lengths and thus avoid kinetic traps. Interestingly, many of these proteins' sequences contain conserved rare codons that may slow down synthesis at this optimal window, suggesting that synthesis rates may be evolutionarily tuned to optimize folding. Using kinetic modelling, we show that under certain conditions, such a slowdown indeed improves co-translational folding efficiency by giving these nascent chains more time to fold. In contrast, other proteins are predicted not to benefit from co-translational folding due to a lack of significant non-native interactions, and indeed these proteins' sequences lack conserved C-terminal rare codons. Together, these results shed light on the factors that promote proper protein folding in the cell, and how biomolecular self-assembly may be optimized evolutionarily.** 1 $\overline{2}$ 3 4 5 6 7 8 α 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

Keyword 1 *|* Keyword 2 *|* Keyword 3 *|* ...

1 $M₂$ **M** any large proteins refold from a denatured state very slower) 3 while others do not spontaneously refold at all $(1-6)$. Given that proteins must rapidly and efficiently fold in the crowded ⁵ cellular environment, how is this conundrum resolved? The answer likely involves a number of factors that affect cellular folding, but which are absent in vitro. For example, molecular ⁸ chaperones such as GroEL in *E. Coli*, and TriC and HSP90 in eukaryotes may substantially improve folding efficiency ¹⁰ by confining unfolded chains to promote their folding, or by ¹¹ repeatedly binding and unfolding misfolded chains until the 12 correct strucure is attained $(6-11)$ $(6-11)$. A second, more recently 13 appreciated factor that may improve *in vivo* folding efficiency 14 is co-translational folding on the ribosome $(12-19)$ $(12-19)$, which may 15 affect the folding of as much as 30% of the E. Coli proteome 16 [\(19\)](#page-9-4). A recent set of works $(12, 13)$ $(12, 13)$ $(12, 13)$ suggests that protein ¹⁷ synthesis rates in various organisms may be under evolutionary ¹⁸ selection to allow for co-translational folding. Namely, these ¹⁹ works show that conserved stretches of rare codons, which ²⁰ are typically translated more slowly than their synonymous ²¹ counterparts, are significantly enriched roughly 30 amino acids ²² upstream of chain lengths at which folding is predicted to begin. ²³ This 30 amino acid gap is expected given that the ribosome exit ²⁴ tunnel sequesters the last \sim 30 amino acids of a nascent chain

and generally impedes their folding. The observed correlation ²⁵ between chain lengths that allow for folding and conserved ²⁶ rare codons suggests that co-translational folding may be 27 under positive evolutionary selection. However, the specific 28 mechanisms by which co-translational folding is beneficial have 29 not been elucidated. 30

Example 18 independent of lengths and properties of proteins at varmany of these proteins' se-

In that may slow down syn-
 $\frac{1}{2}$ co-translational folding efficing that synthesis rates may
 $\frac{1}{2}$ lows nascent ch Here, we address this question using an all-atom computa- ³¹ tional method for inferring detailed protein folding pathways 32 and rates while accounting for the possibility of non-native 33 conformations. We apply this method to compute folding ³⁴ properties of proteins at various nascent chain lengths to ad- ³⁵ dress how the vectorial nature of protein synthesis may affect 36 co-translational folding efficiency. We find that for certain 37 large proteins, vectorial synthesis is beneficial because it al- ³⁸ lows nascent chains to fold rapidly at shorter chain lengths, 39 prior to the synthesis of C-terminal residues which stabilize $\frac{40}{40}$ non-native kinetic traps. Many of these proteins' sequences $\frac{41}{41}$ contain conserved rare codons \sim 30 amino acids downstream 42 of these faster-folding intermediate lengths, suggesting these ⁴³ protein sequences may have evolved to provide enough time ⁴⁴ for co-translational folding. We also identify counterexam- ⁴⁵ ples—proteins without conserved rare codons that do not ⁴⁶ misfold into deep kinetic traps, and for which vectorial syn- ⁴⁷ thesis thus confers no advantage. Together, these results shed ⁴⁸ light on how biophysical folding properties of nascent chains 49 determine the advantages of co-translational folding, and how 50 co-translational folding may be optimized evolutionarily. $\qquad 51$

Results 52

Significance Statement

Many proteins must adopt a specific structure in order to perform their functions, and failure to do so has been linked to disease. Although small proteins often fold rapidly and spontaneously to their native conformations, larger proteins are less likely to fold correctly due to the myriad incorrect arrangements they can adopt. Here, we show that this problem can be alleviated if proteins start folding while they are being translated, namely, built one amino acid at a time on the ribosome. This process of co-translational folding biases certain proteins away from misfolded states that tend to hinder spontaneous refolding. Signatures of unusually slow translation suggest that some of these proteins have evolved to fold co-translationally.

¹ A.O.(Author One) and A.T. (Author Two) contributed equally to this work (remove if not applicable).

Please provide details of author contributions here.

Please declare any conflict of interest here.

²To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: author.twoemail.com

Fig. 1. (Top let) We run replica exchange atomistic simulations with a knowledge-based potential and umbrella sampling to compute a protein's free energy landscape. (Bottom left) To obtain barrier heights, we run high-temperature unfolding simulations and extrapolate unfolding rates down to lower temperatures assuming Arrhenius kinetics. (Top right) The principle of detailed balance is then used to compute folding rates. (Bottom left) The process is repeated at multiple chain lengths and incorporated into a kinetic model of co-translational folding. For details, see Methods.

 Predicting folding properties of nascent chains. In order to compute co-translational folding pathways and rates, we de- veloped a simulation-based method and analysis pipeline de- scribed in Fig. 1 and Methods. The method utilizes an all-atom Monte-Carlo simulation program with a knowledge- based potential and a realistic move-set described previously $59 \left(20-22\right)$. In essence, rather than simulating a protein's folding ab initio from an unfolded ensemble (which is intractable for large proteins at reasonable simulation timescales), we sim- ulate *unfolding*, and in tandem, calculate the free energies of the folded, unfolded and various intermediate states from simulations with enhanced sampling. Given rates of sequential unfolding between these states and their free energies, the reverse folding rates can be computed from detailed balance. Importantly, our sequence-based potential energy function is 68 not biased towards the native state, as in native-centered $(G\bar{o})$ models, and allows for the possibility of non-native interac- tions. Thus we can account for the role of misfolded states in folding kinetics. This method is applied at multiple chain lengths to predict co-translational folding properties.

⁷³ Our approach here is based on a few key assumptions: 1.) ⁷⁴ The ribosome will not significantly affect co-translational fold-⁷⁵ ing pathways, and thus is neglected. Previous work suggests

that the ribosome's destabilizing effect on nascent chains is relatively modest, typically 1-2 kcal/mol (23) , and affects various 77 folding intermediates to a comparable extent (24) . Thus, the $\overline{78}$ ribosome is expected not to drastically affect the relative stability of the different intermediates computed here. 2.) Unfolding \qquad 80 rates are assumed to obey Arrhenius kinetics, such that rates 81 computed at high temperatures can be readily extrapolated to 82 lower temperatures. This is justifiable so long as the barriers 83 between intermediates are large so that a local equilibrium is $\frac{1}{84}$ reached in each free energy basin prior to unfolding. 3.) We \quad as assume that non-native contacts form on timescales faster than 86 the timescales of native folding transitions. This assumption $\frac{87}{100}$ implies that a protein's folding landscape can be described by 88 macrostates characterized by certain folded native elements in 89 fast equilibrium with non-native contacts that are compatible \qquad so with the currently folded elements, and that these macrostates 91 obey detailed balance (see Methods). This assumption holds 92 in general for the misfolded states observed here, which are 93 dominated by short-range interactions that form rapidly com- ⁹⁴ pared to the long-range contacts that stabilize most native 95 structures.

MarR-an *E. coli* **protein with conserved rare codons-adopts 97 stable co-translational folding intermediates.** We began by 98

Fig. 2. (A) Structure of native MarR dimer bound to DNA (left) as well as monomer (right) with highlighted dimerization region (green), DNA binding region (blue), and a crucial beta hairpin involved in stabilizing the DNA binding region (gold). (B) Mean fraction of native contacts per subunit for monomeric and dimeric MarR as a function of temperature normalized by DNA binding region melting temperature (right dashed line). The dimer melting temperature is indicated by the left dashed line. Sample monomeric structures from each temperature range are shown, illustrating melting of the dimerization region followed by the DNA binding region (C) Predicted folding pathway of MarR monomer. (See text for details.) (D) (Top) At various chain lengths, we plot the equilibrium probability that the structural elements associated with each folding step in the MarR monomer folding pathway are folded (gold = hairpin folding, blue = DNA binding region folding, green = dimerization region folding). X's indicate the minimum chain lengths at which each step is possible. (Bottom) For each chain length shown in the top panel, we plot the rate of the slowest folding step–DNA-binding region formation. A narrow window of chain lengths that confers both folding speed and stability is highlighted in purple. Error bars on folding rates are obtained from bootstrapping. (see Methods) Both panels are shown at a simulation temperature of $T = 0.51 T_M$

 simulating the co-translational folding of a protein previously 100 shown to contain a conserved rare codons \sim 30 amino acids downstream of a possible co-translational folding intermediate [\(12\)](#page-9-3): the *E. Coli* Multiple Antibiotic Resistance Regulator (MarR). MarR, a transcriptional repressor $(25-27)$ $(25-27)$, natively assembles into a winged helix homodimer with each monomer composed of a DNA binding region and a helical dimerization region (Fig. 2A). To investigate whether individual monomers are stable, we ran equilibrium replica exchange simulations with umbrella sampling using our all-atom potential (Meth- ods). We find that the dimerization region is folded a fraction of the time, while the DNA binding region is stably folded the 111 majority of the time at temperatures below $T \approx 0.9 T_M$ (blue
112 dotted line), where T_M is the monomer melting temperature dotted line), where T_M is the monomer melting temperature (see also Fig. S1B). These results indicate that the monomer acquires a substantial amount of native structure in isolation. pathway. We find that the monomer folds in three steps ¹¹⁶ (Fig. 2C) characterized by: 1.) the relatively fast folding of a $_{117}$ crucial beta hairpin composed of residues valine 84 through ¹¹⁸ leucine 100 (gold in Fig. 2), which scaffolds the entire DNA 119 binding region in the final structure, 2.) The completion of $_{120}$ DNA binding region folding, which is the rate-limiting step 121 involving the formation of long range contacts between one of 122 the strands in the beta hairpin–leucine 97 through leucine 100– ¹²³ and another strand composed of alanine 53 through threonine 124 56 (blue in Fig. 2), and finally 3.) Folding of the dimerization ¹²⁵ region (green in Fig. 2), which is reversible as the helices ¹²⁶ comprising this region rapidly exchange between various native 127 and non-native tertiary arrangements (Fig. S1B). Naturally, ¹²⁸ the dimerization region becomes substantially more ordered 129 in the presence of a dimeric partner. Rates for each folding 130 step as a function of temperature are shown in Fig. S2.

¹¹⁵ We next turned to investigating the monomer's folding

Having predicted the monomer's folding pathway, we ¹³²

 wondered whether these folding steps can take place co- translationally. To test this, we truncated residues from the C-terminus of the protein and ran equilibrium simulations of the resulting nascent-like chains at various lengths. At each length, we computed the probability that the tertiary contacts associated with each folding step are formed at equilibrium (Fig. 2D top panel, see Methods for details), We find that as soon as the crucial beta hairpin (gold in Fig. 2) has been fully synthesized at length 100, both beta-hairpin folding and the rate-limiting DNA binding region folding step become thermodynamically favorable, suggesting folding can begin co-translationally at this length (see also Fig. S1F). This find- ing is in agreement with prior analysis using a coarse-grained model, which predicts a co-translational folding intermediate at a similar chain length (Fig. S1I). Meanwhile, the helix con- sisting of residues methionine 1 through serine 34 is stabilized by loose non-native contacts with the DNA-binding region (Fig. S1H), as the C-terminal helices with which it pairs to form the dimerization region have not yet been synthesized. These helices have been partially synthesized by length 112, but dimerization-region folding is still unfavorable at this point. The entirety of the C-terminal helices must be synthesized, which occurs around the full monomer length of 144, for the ¹⁵⁶ dimerization region to acquire partial stability ($\approx 70\%$ folded at the temperature shown.) We note that these results are 158 reported at a simulation of temperature of $T = 0.51 T_M$, where *T^M* is the DNA-binding region melting temperature. We chose this temperature because it is slightly below the dimer melting 161 temperature of $T \approx 0.65$ T_M (Fig. 2B) and corresponds to a 162 physiologically reasonable folding stability of ~ 5 $k_B T$ (Fig. S1B). However, our results are consistent across temperature choices below the dimer melting temperature (Fig. S1E). We further note that, although real physiological temperatures typically lie only slightly below protein melting temperatures, 167 our temperature choice of $T = 0.51$ T_M is nonetheless reason- able in our model because our potential energy function is temperature-independent.

 MarR folding rate rapidly decreases beyond 100 amino acids due to non-native interactions. We next asked how the folding kinetics for MarR's rate-limiting folding step, namely DNA- binding region folding, change as the nascent chain elongates beginning at 100 amino acids. We find that for a narrow window around this length, the rate-limiting step is both thermodynamically favorable and relatively fast (Fig. 2D). Beyond 100 amino acids, this step becomes dramatically slower. By length 112, this rate has decreased by roughly 1000-fold, and by the time the monomer is fully synthesized (144 AAs), the rate has decreased by roughly 2000-fold relative to the 100 AA partial chain (Fig. 2D, bottom). This slowdown far exceeds what is predicted from general scaling laws of folding 183 time as a function of length $(1, 28, 29)$ $(1, 28, 29)$ $(1, 28, 29)$ $(1, 28, 29)$ $(1, 28, 29)$. For instance, the ¹⁸⁴ power law scaling proposed by Gutin et al. (29) , $\tau \sim L^4$. 185 predicts only a \sim 4-fold slowdown between lengths 100 and
186 144 AA. The discrepancy between this general scaling and our 144 AA. The discrepancy between this general scaling and our observed dramatic slowdown suggests that factors specific to MarR are at play. One possibility is non-native intermediates. 189 To test this hypothesis, we turned off the contribution of non-native contacts to the potential energy by re-running simulations in an all-atom Go potential in which only native 192 contacts contribute $(30, 31)$ $(30, 31)$ $(30, 31)$. In stark contrast to the full knowledge-based potential (Fig. 3A, left), the native-only

potential predicts that below the melting temperature, the ¹⁹⁴ full protein folds dramatically *faster* than the partial chain at 195 length 100. Furthermore, whereas the full potential predicts 196 that both folding rates drop with decreasing temperature, the ¹⁹⁷ native-only potential predicts that the folding rates remain 198 constant or *increase* with decreasing temperature. These 199 findings can be explained by two effects related to non-native 200 contacts, namely 1.) The partial chain is normally stabilized ²⁰¹ by loose non-native contacts, and so their absence leads to a 202 reduced thermodynamic driving force for folding (Figs S1H and 203 S2E), and 2.) The absence of non-native contacts eliminates 204 kinetic trapping for the full protein at low temperatures. As a 205 result, the folding rate now increases, rather than decreases 200 with lowering temperature due to a stronger thermodynamic 207 driving force. These observations point to the importance of 208 non-native interactions in producing the observed orders-ofmagnitude slowdown in MarR folding rate in the full potential 210 at lengths beyond 100 amino acids. ²¹¹

till unfavorable at this point. examined snapshots that helices must be synthesized, step and identified ones the more length of 144, for the as having ≥ 5 non-native it in the serves of $T = 0.51 T_M$, where the rate-li As an additional test of the role of non-native contacts, we 212 examined snapshots that have yet to undergo the rate-limiting 213 step and identified ones that are kinetically trapped, defined ²¹⁴ as having ≥ 5 non-native contacts that need to be broken 215 before the rate-limiting step can occur. Snapshots that do not 216 before the rate-limiting step can occur. Snapshots that do not fulfill this criterion are deemed non-trapped, and generally 217 take on a looser, more molten-globule like structure. We then 218 computed the free energy difference between these trapped 219 and non-trapped ensembles as a measure for the stability of 220 misfolded kinetic traps (Fig. 3B). For all temperatures below ²²¹ the melting temperature, this free energy difference is greater 222 for the MarR chain at length 100 than for the full protein. We 223 note that at temperatures below $T \approx 0.85$ T_M , non-trapped zestructures are observed extremely infrequently, leading to large structures are observed extremely infrequently, leading to large errors in this free energy calculation. We thus do not plot 226 these temperatures. But the trend at temperatures above 227 $T \approx 0.85$ T_M clearly suggest that the full protein experiences α are deeper kinetic traps. Although we define trapped snapshots α deeper kinetic traps. Although we define trapped snapshots here as ones that have ≥ 5 non-native contacts, our results are robust to the choice of this threshold value (Fig. S2F). are robust to the choice of this threshold value (Fig. S2F).

Since kinetic traps are deeper at chain lengths beyond 100 232 amino acids, we hypothesized that non-native contacts in- ²³³ volving residues at sequence positions beyond 100 crucially ²³⁴ stabilize these traps at longer lengths. To test this, we con- ²³⁵ structed and clustered the non-native contact maps of full 236 protein snapshots prior to the rate-limiting step (see Meth- ²³⁷ ods), and visualized average non-native contact maps for these 238 clusters (Fig. 3C). Indeed, the two most heavily populated ²³⁹ clusters contain multiple non-native contacts involving amino ²⁴⁰ acids beyond 100. In the first cluster (left), residues 51-55, ²⁴¹ which natively pair with the beta strand 95-100, are instead 242 sequestered into a non-native hydrophobic core that is stabi- ²⁴³ lized by C-terminal residues. In the second cluster (right), the ²⁴⁴ beta strand 95-100 forms a non-native hairpin with residues 245 106-111, again impeding the native insertion of residues 51-55. ²⁴⁶ Notably, many of the residues involved in stabilizing these ²⁴⁷ non-native traps, particularly cluster 2, are already synthe- ²⁴⁸ sized at length 112, thus explaining why the rate of folding is 249 already much slower at that length than at length 100. To- ²⁵⁰ gether, these contact maps further highlight the importance of ²⁵¹ C-terminal non-native contacts in drastically slowing folding 252 as the nascent MarR chain elongates.

3.pdf

Fig. 3. A) Folding rate vs temperature for DNA binding region folding rate as a function of temperature at nascent chain length 100 (dashed line) and full MarR (solid line), using the all-atom potential (left) and a native-central potential in which non-native interactions have been turned off (right). Symbols indicate temperatures at which the partial chain folds significantly faster than the full monomer (*p <* 0*.*01) based on bootstrapped distributions (see Methods) (B) Free-energy difference between configurations prior to the rate-limiting step that are kinetically trapped (defined as having at least 5 nonnative contacts that must be broken before rate-limiting step can occur) and those that are not trapped as a function of temperature for both the partial MarR chain at length 100 and full MarR. (C) Mean nonnative contact maps for the two most prevalent clusters (see Methods) among full MarR simulation snapshots in which the DNA binding region is not folded, along with representative structures. Contacts involving the C-terminus that most be broken before folding can proceed are circled in red on the maps and highlighted on the respective structures.

 Kinetic modeling predicts that vectorial synthesis helps MarR circumvent deep kinetic traps. Given that nascent MarR folding is fastest at chain lengths around 100 AAs, we hypothe- sized that vectorial synthesis may significantly improve folding eciency as compared to what would be possible with unas- sisted post-translational folding. To test this, we developed a kinetic model of co-translational folding (Fig. 4A, details in Methods). Our model assumes that co-translational folding can be characterized by a fixed number of length regimes, namely chain length intervals for which the folding properties are nearly constant and informed by the calculations described above. For MarR, we identified three such regimes: 1.) 100- 112 amino acids, at which point folding is relatively fast 2.) 112-144 amino acids, and 3.) 144 amino acids, corresponding to the full monomer. These latter two regimes both show similar folding properties, namely much slower folding and are depicted together as a single row in Fig. 4A. We assume that the protein spends a fixed amount of time at each length regime, during which it can fold or unfold as a continuous time Markov process (see Methods), prior to irreversible transition to the next regime via synthesis. This model contains two free parameters: 1.) The simulation temperature, which is kept 275 at $T = 0.51$ T_M as before, and 2.) The ratio of the folding 276 timescale to the synthesis timescale. This ratio cannot be 277 determined from Monte Carlo simulations, which compute ²⁷⁸ folding timescales in arbitrary Monte Carlo steps (although ²⁷⁹ relative rates between different lengths or folding steps can be 280 computed).

In Fig. 4b (left), we incorporate our computed folding rates 282 for MarR into the kinetic model and plot the resulting proba- ²⁸³ bility of occupying different folding intermediates over time. 284 We choose a set of parameters for which the effect of vectorial 285 synthesis is particularly pronounced, namely we assume the 286 slowest folding rate is $6 \cdot 10^{-3}$ times the protein synthesis rate. 287
For these parameters, enough time is spent at the 100-112 For these parameters, enough time is spent at the 100-112 amino acid length regime that the DNA-binding region folds ²⁸⁹ in roughly 50% of nascent chains (green and blue curves). The 290 other half remains trapped in misfolded states (red curve). In ²⁹¹ contrast, an analogous simulation of post-translational folding ²⁹² shows no appreciable folding during this time period owing ²⁹³ to the deep traps (Fig. S3A). Although vectorial synthesis ²⁹⁴ is clearly advantageous, we wondered whether the advantage ²⁹⁵

Fig. 4. (A) Schematic of kinetic model (see main text and Methods for details). Dimerization is shown for completeness, but not accounted for in the kinetic model (B): Time evolution for the probability of occupying different states as a function of time, assuming the slowest folding rate is 6 · 10⁻³ times the protein synthesis rate (under constant translation speed). We further assume either no slowdown at conserved rare codons between residues 100-112 (left), or a 6-fold slowdown at rare codons (right, see main text and Methods). States are colored as in (A) (black = no native tertiary structure, gold = beta hairpin folded, red = beta hairpin folded with significant nonnative contacts, blue = DNA binding region folded, green = fully folded), and sample structures are shown. We neglect lengths prior to 100, at which point no folding occurs. (C) Fractional reduction in the mean time to complete synthesis and folding as a function of unknown synthesis rate, assuming various percent slowdowns at rare codons indicated by numbers over the curves and highlighted on the respective structures.

 can be enhanced by slowing down MarR synthesis around the optimal folding length of 100. *In vivo* such a slowdown may result from a conserved stretch of rare codons which occurs roughly 30 amino acids downstream of this length (Fig. S3B). Indeed, we find that increasing the time spent in the 100-112 length regime by a factor of 6 increases the population that has undergone the rate-limiting step (green + blue curves) to nearly 100% (Fig. 4b, right). This suggests that, for these parameters, a rare-codon induced slowdown around length 100 305 significantly improves co-translational folding efficiency.

 We next varied our model's free parameters to test the generality of these results. In Fig. 4C, we show the mean time required for post-translational folding divided by the mean time for co-translational folding. This ratio is a proxy for the folding time benefit due to vectorial synthesis, with a value greater than 1 implying a benefit. We plot this ra- tio as a function of the unknown folding/synthesis timescale ratio, assuming that rare codons increase the time spent at the 100-112 length regime by various factors. We find that vectorial synthesis is always beneficial, although as expected this benefit diminishes as the folding/synthesis timescale ratio approaches zero, as the chain no longer has enough time to 317 fold at length 100 (Fig. S3C). Furthermore, slowing down 318 synthesis due to rare codons improves this benefit so long 319 as the folding/synthesis timescale ratio is less than ~ 0.01 . 320 For ratios above this, folding at intermediate lengths is fast 321 enough that there is no benefit from slowing down synthesis 322 (Fig. S3D). Thus in summary, our model predicts that 1.) ³²³ for nearly all parameter values, MarR co-translational folding ³²⁴ improves folding efficiency by helping nascent chains overcome 325 deep kinetic traps, and 2,) assuming a reasonable range of 326 timescales, rare codons tune synthesis rates so that a nascent 327 MarR monomer can optimally exploit the faster folding rates 328 available to it at lengths around 100 amino acids. 329

Non-native interactions explain rare codon usage in multiple 330 **proteins.** We then applied these methods to investigate the 331 folding of other *E. Coli* proteins which were previously predicted to form stable folding intermediates upstream of conserved rare codon stretches (12) . For each, we plot the native 334 stability and the slowest folding rate as a function of chain 335 length at a chosen temperature where the folding stability is 336

Fig. 5. $(A - D)$ As a function of chain length, the equilibrium probability that tertiary structure elements associated with the rate limiting step are formed (top) and the folding rate associated with the rate-limiting step (bottom) are shown for proteins (A) FabG, (B) CMK, (C) DHFR, and (D) HemK. For each protein, the native structure (top row) and a sample structure that has yet to undergo the rate-limiting folding step (bottom row) are shown, with C-terminal non-native contacts that must be broken prior to this step highlighted in red. Blue Xs's in the top panels indicate the lengths at which the first amino acids associated with the rate-limiting step have been synthesized, while black X's in bottom row indicate that no folding rate is computed because, even though enough residues have been synthesized for the rate-limiting structures to fold, their stability is low. As before, for each protein, we work at a temperature at which the fully synthesized chain shows a folding stability of $\sim 5-15$ $k_B T$. For more details pertaining to each protein, see SI. (E) For each protein simulated, we indicate if stable co-translational folding intermediates are formed, deep kinetic traps slow folding, and conserved C-terminal rare codons are found in the sequence.

337 physiologically reasonable (\sim 5-15 k_BT). One example is the beta-ketoacyl-(acyl carrier protein) reductase, or FabG, an essential enzyme involved in fatty acid synthesis (Figs. 5A, S4). As with MarR, our simulations point to a rapid increase in monomer stability around 85 amino acids, at which point enough of the protein has been synthesized that a folding core composed of three N-terminal beta strands can fold (Fig. 5A top). This early folding step, which is rate-limiting overall, slows down somewhat beyond length 85, and even more beyond length 128, again owing to C-terminal non-native interactions (Figs. 5A bottom, S4 F-H). Thus, vectorial synthesis benefits FabG folding by allowing the chain to take advantage of these shorter lengths. The sequence contains various stretches of rare codons, each of which is predicted to potentially enhance 351 this benefit under different conditions (Figs S4I-K). Another protein that shows similar behavior is the enzyme Cytidylate Kinase, or CMK (Figs 5B, S5). Our simulations predict that non-native kinetic traps lead to very slow CMK folding, con- sistent with previous experimental findings that the protein refolds on timescales of minutes (32) . We further find that the stability notably increases with length at around 145 amino 357 acids, even though our force field only predicts a folded frac- ³⁵⁸ tion of \sim 0.1 at this length. Slight inaccuracies in the force $\frac{359}{100}$ field may change this exact value, but our observation of a field may change this exact value, but our observation of a rapid increase in stability around this critical chain length is $\frac{361}{200}$ expected to be qualitatively robust. As with other proteins, 362 this chain length corresponds to the point at which the rate- ³⁶³ limiting step (beta-core nucleation) is fastest, as non-native $\frac{364}{100}$ contacts significantly slow the step at longer lengths (Figs 5B 365 bottom, S5E-F). Furthermore, the chain-length window that 366 corresponds to both increasing stability and relatively fast ³⁶⁷ folding once again occurs roughly 30 amino acids downstream $\frac{368}{200}$ of a conserved stretch of rare codons (Fig. S5G). We note that, ³⁶⁹ owing to large barriers in CMK's landscape, the simulations 370 did not converge adequately enough at low temperatures to 371 allow for reliable folding rate calculations. We thus only com- ³⁷² pute folding rates at higher temperatures very close to the full 373 protein's melting temperature, at which point thermal stabili- ³⁷⁴ ties are poor. However, we expect these trends to extend to 375 lower, more physiologically reasonable temperatures, at which 376

377 point the difference in folding rates, and thus the benefit due ³⁷⁸ to vectorial synthesis, may be even more substantial.

ge with length (Fig. 5C).

Impublis slightly slower than the syn

is codon usage: [A](#page-10-13)lthough

In's codons (Fig. S6H), tolding until further synthesis is considerated by C-terminal residuated by C-terminal residues, where
 P **Counterexamples.** Using our methodology, we also identified proteins for which vectorial synthesis and rare-codon induced pauses confer no benefit. We began by considering *E. Coli* Dihydrofolate Reductase (DHFR) (Figs. 3C, S6)—an essential enzyme which is known to fold rapidly [\(33](#page-10-12)[–36\)](#page-10-13). Indeed, our simulations predict no deep kinetic traps for full DHFR–the kinetic trap depth for unfolded states, computed as in Fig. 3B, is nearly zero at physiologically reasonable temperatures (Fig. S6F). Rather, the unfolded ensemble is characterized by loose, molten globule like states with significantly higher energy than the native state (Figs 3C bottom, S6E-G). Our predicted folding pathway (Fig. S6D) is in agreement with previous studies, which show that DHFR folds in multiple steps with fast relaxation times and no significant off-pathway intermediates (32, 33). Owing to this smooth folding landscape, we predict no advantage to vectorial synthesis, because even though the chain can fold at an intermediate length of 149, the folding kinetics hardly change with length (Fig. 5C). This is consistent with the protein's codon usage: Although *E. Coli* DHFR contains C-terminal rare codons (Fig. S6H), they are not conserved and their synonymous substitution 400 has been shown not to affect *in vivo* soluble protein levels nor *E. Coli* fitness [\(36\)](#page-10-13). (However, conserved N-terminal rare codons were shown to be crucial for mRNA folding so as to ensure accessibility of the Shine-Dalgarno sequence (36).) In addition to DHFR, we simulated the N-terminal domain of HemK (residues 1-74, see Figs 5D, S7), a protein whose co- translational folding pathway has been studied using FRET by Holtkamp et al. [\(14\)](#page-9-6). We find that the domain can adopt a stable native-like structure at around 40 amino acids, consistent with an observed increase in FRET near this length by Holtkamp and coworkers. But as with DHFR, slowing down synthesis at this length is predicted to confer no advantage (Fig. 5D), as the full domain folds rapidly and experiences only shallow folding traps at physiological temperatures (Fig. S7G). Consistent with this, the HemK N-terminal domain shows no conserved rare codons (Fig. S7H). Our results for every protein we simulate are summarized in Fig. 5b.

⁴¹⁷ **Discussion**

 Together, these results shed light on how vectorial synthesis 419 and its regulation affect the efficiency of in vivo co-translational folding for various proteins depending on their nascent chain properties. The main takeaway is summarized in Fig. 6. For the relatively large single-domain proteins MarR, FabG, and CMK, we identify a narrow window of chain lengths at which folding is both favorable and fast. Prior to this length, the nascent chain cannot yet adopt native-like structures, while be- yond this length, the folding rate drops by orders of magnitude. This dramatic drop in folding rate far exceeds what is expected due to increasing chain length alone $(1, 28, 29)$ $(1, 28, 29)$ $(1, 28, 29)$ $(1, 28, 29)$ $(1, 28, 29)$ and instead results from deep non-native contacts involving C-terminal residues, which must be broken before folding can proceed. Thus, vectorial synthesis is predicted to significantly benefit folding, as it allows these proteins to exploit the narrow win- dow of lengths at which the problematic C-terminal residues have not yet been synthesized and folding is fast. Under cer-tain conditions, slowing synthesis at these critical lengths is

Fig. 6. For misfolding-prone proteins that can fold co-translationally, the overall folding rate is optimized if the nascent chain has time to start folding at the earliest length at which stable folding can occur. At this point, the chain's folding landscape is still relatively smooth (blue arrow). In case the nascent chain's folding rate at this critical length is slightly slower than the synthesis rate, then slowing down synthesis using rare codons roughly 30 amino acids downstream is beneficial. In contrast, delaying folding until further synthesis is complete (red arrow) leads to deep kinetic traps stabilized by C-terminal residues, which significantly slow folding.

necessary to give the chain enough time to fold, consistent with ⁴³⁶ the presence of conserved C-terminal rare codons ~ 30 amino 437 acids downstream. In contrast to co-translational folding, ⁴³⁸ post-translational folding is expected to be much less efficient 439 for these proteins owing to misfolded states. Our results may 440 also explain why other proteins lack conserved C-terminal rare ⁴⁴¹ codons. Namely for DHFR and the HemK N-terminal domain, ⁴⁴² we find that although co-translational folding is possible, it is $\frac{443}{400}$ not advantageous relative to post-translational folding because 444 the full proteins fold rapidly without populating significant $\frac{445}{400}$ kinetic traps. $\frac{446}{4}$

This study both generates specific experimental predictions, ⁴⁴⁷ and also advances our general understanding of codon usage ⁴⁴⁸ in proteins. For decades, it has been known that synonymous ⁴⁴⁹ mutations which alter translation speed can affect the folding of 450 large proteins, potentially reducing fitness (17) or exacerbating 451 disease symptoms $(37-39)$ $(37-39)$. However, the mechanism for these 452 effects has not been established. Other studies have examined 453 the role of evolutionarily conserved clusters of rare codons at 454 domain boundaries, suggesting that these may give individual 455 domains time to fold co-translationally (40) . But more recent $\overline{456}$ work has shown that conserved rare codons may be found at 457 *any* chain length at which folding can begin, and not exclusively 458 at domain boundaries $(12, 13)$ $(12, 13)$ $(12, 13)$. These studies did not, however, $\frac{456}{200}$ establish a rationale for slowing down synthesis in the middle $_{460}$ of a domain. Our work provides a potential mechanistic ⁴⁶¹ explanation for these observations, pointing to the crucial role $\frac{462}{100}$ of misfolded intermediates stabilized by C-terminal residues. ⁴⁶³ In the cell, such intermediates may be involved in harmful 464 aggregation, an effect that is not considered in our model 465 but which may further heighten selection for co-translational 466 folding. It is further worth noting that some rare codons, 467 particularly at the 5' end of genes, have evolved for reasons ⁴⁶⁸ unrelated to co-translational folding, for instance to promote 469 proper mRNA folding $(36, 41, 42)$ $(36, 41, 42)$ $(36, 41, 42)$ $(36, 41, 42)$ $(36, 41, 42)$, or to minimize ribosome 470 jamming (43) . However, our work focuses on rare codons 47

⁴⁷² further downstream in coding sequences, at which point a ⁴⁷³ nascent chain will be synthesized to a greater extent and ⁴⁷⁴ co-translational folding becomes possible.

 More generally, this work expands our understanding of how evolution optimizes the folding of large, misfolding-prone proteins *in vivo*. Besides vectorial synthesis and codon usage, another regulatory strategy involves chaperones. Growing evidence suggests that these two strategies may work in tandem in the cell, as chaperones such as trigger factor, DnaK, and TriC have been shown to bind nascent chains and promote co-translational folding $(4, 8, 9, 44)$ $(4, 8, 9, 44)$ $(4, 8, 9, 44)$ $(4, 8, 9, 44)$ $(4, 8, 9, 44)$ $(4, 8, 9, 44)$ $(4, 8, 9, 44)$. Thus, rare codons may serve an additional role of slowing synthesis to give time for chaperones to bind. This may be especially beneficial if co-translational folding intermediates are non-native like, aggregation prone, or if these intermediates must undergo slow steps such as such as proline isomerization. Our method for studying co-translational folding, including the role of misfolded intermediates, can be applied in the future to shed light on these roles for chaperones, and potentially myriad additional factors that regulate protein folding *in vivo*.

⁴⁹² **Materials and Methods**

493

 Atomistic Monte Carlo simulations. Our algorithm for computing folding rates utilizes atomistic Monte-Carlo simulations with a knowledge-based potential and a realistic move-set comprising back- bone and sidechain rotations [\(20](#page-10-1)[–22\)](#page-10-2). For each full protein construct and intermediate chain length, we performed the following steps:

 1. A starting structure was downloaded from the PDB (PDB IDs for each protein shown in Table S1). This starting structure was equilibrated in the full potential for 15-30 million MC steps at a very low simulation temperature with harmonic umbrella biasing along native contacts. Umbrella biasing during equi- libration increases the likelihood that the protein undergoes slight conformational changes relative to the starting structure that are necessary to attain the lowest energy configuration in the potential. Nascent chain constructs at intermediate lengths (for example, MarR at length 100) were then generated by truncating the C-terminus of the equilibrated full protein PDB structure, and equilibrating these truncated structures as was done for the respective complete protein.

 2. To compute equilibrium thermodynamic properties, we ran replica exchange simulations using an added harmonic umbrella-sampling bias with respect to the number of native contacts. These simulations were run for 200-800 million MC steps at a wide range of temperatures. For some proteins, the initial 200-600 million MC steps additionally implemented a knowledge-based moveset [\(45\)](#page-10-21) to aid the protein in finding energy minima at intermediate numbers of native contacts. However the timesteps that utilized these moves were not in- cluded in the free energy calculations, since these moves do not satisfy detailed balance.

 3. To compute rates of unfolding, we ran simulations without replica exchange nor umbrella sampling at temperatures near or above the melting temperature. For all proteins, simulations were run starting from the equilibrated native structure. For FabG and CMK, we additionally ran unfolding simulations beginning from intermediate states containing a high degree of non-native structure, extracted from low temperature trajecto- ries in the replica exchange simulations. Such simulations allow for a better estimate of the unfolding rate for these partially non-native intermediates at low temperatures.

 Simulation analysis and folding rate computation . To investigate a given construct's folding properties, we first generated native contact maps of the respective fully synthesized and equilibrated structure, and identified islands of long-range contacts referred to as substructures (46) . Native contact maps and substructures for each proteined are shown in the SI. We then defined a coarse-grained folding land- ⁵³⁸ scape characterized by transitions between states defined by a subset 539 of formed substructures. Such states are referred to as *topological* ⁵⁴⁰ *configurations* [\(46\)](#page-10-22). For fully synthesized MarR, example topolog- ⁵⁴¹ ical configurations include *abcdef* (all substructures folded), *abc* ⁵⁴² (only substructures a, b and c are folded) and \emptyset (no substructures 543 folded–see Fig. S1). The resulting network of topological configufolded–see Fig. S1). The resulting network of topological configurations is analogous to a Markov state model (47) in which states 545 are defined based on structural features, rather than directly from ⁵⁴⁶ kinetic information. This is justified because the folding/unfolding 547 of a native substructure typically requires the forming/breaking of ⁵⁴⁸ a loop, which is associated with a large free energy barrier. Thus, ⁵⁴⁹ topological configurations show Markovian dwell-time distributions, ⁵⁵⁰ as microstates consistent with a topological configuration rapidly 551 equilibrate relative to the timescale of transition between topological 552 configurations. (46) . 553

Having defined substructures for a given protein, we assigned 554 all simulation snapshots from replica exchange simulations to a ⁵⁵⁵ topological configuration in accordance with which substructures 556 are formed. Using the replica exchange simulations, we then used 557 the MBAR method (48) to compute a potential of mean force (PMF) 558 as a function of topological configuration–examples for MarR are ⁵⁵⁹ shown in Fig. S1. The MBAR method was also used to compute 560 PMFs as a function of number of native contacts or presence/absence 561 of kinetic trapping (as in Fig. 3C) The PMF as a function of native ⁵⁶² contacts was used to compute a thermal average number of native ⁵⁶³ contacts at each temperature, as in Fig. 2B. 564

or attention these trupped in Fig. Contacts was used to compute
contacts at each temperature,
 $\frac{1}{2}$ contacts at each temperature,
 $\frac{1}{2}$ contacts at each temperature,
e-Carlo simulations with a
we fit the unfolding To analyze unfolding simulations, we first assigned snapshots ⁵⁶⁵ from these simulations to topological configurations, as above. To 566 account for misclassification due to possible structural ambiguity, 567 we fit the unfolding trajectories to a Hidden Markov Model that ⁵⁶⁸ assumes a constant and uniform probability of misclassification to ⁵⁶⁹ any incorrect configuration. We then identified clusters, or sets of 570 topological configurations that are in rapid exchange. This was ⁵⁷¹ accomplished by defining a kinetic distance between topological ⁵⁷² configurations i and j, defined as the average time to transition be- ⁵⁷³ tween them, then clustering together configurations whose distance 574 is below some threshold. The threshold was chosen to ensure a ⁵⁷⁵ substantial separation between the timescales of exchange within 576 the resulting clusters and exchange between clusters. This again en- ⁵⁷⁷ sures that clusters show Markovian dwell time distributions, which 578 we have verified for MarR. The resulting clusters for each protein 579 construct are shown in SI. Each snapshot from the unfolding simu- ⁵⁸⁰ lations was then assigned to a cluster. At each unfolding simulation ⁵⁸¹ temperature, we then computed rates of unfolding between clusters, ⁵⁸² and fit the log rates as a function of temperature to the Arrhenius 583 equation. Fig. S1 shows that the Arrhenius equation provides a ⁵⁸⁴ good fit for the observed MarR unfolding rates. Using the Arrhenius 585 equation, we then extrapolated unfolding rates to lower, more phys- ⁵⁸⁶ iologically reasonable temperatures. We also computed the relative 587 free energies of each cluster at those temperatures using the PMFs 588 as a function of topological configuration obtained previously. From ⁵⁸⁹ these unfolding rates and free energies, the folding rates between 590 clusters were calculated from detailed balance. Namely, for two ⁵⁹¹ clusters i and j, the ratio of the forward and reverse transition rates 592 $\lambda_{i \to j}$ and $\lambda_{j \to i}$ satisfies 593

$$
\frac{\lambda_{i \to j}}{\lambda_{j \to i}} = \frac{P_{eq}^j}{P_{eq}^i} = e^{-(F_j - F_i)/kT},
$$
\n[1] 594

where $F_{i,j}$ are the relative free energies of the respective clusters. \qquad 595

For each protein construct, we performed a bootstrap analysis 596 to obtain an error distribution on folding rates by resampling 1000 597 times from the unfolding trajectories with replacement. We tested 598 our method on HemK, for which folding transitions are fast enough ⁵⁹⁹ for their rate to be directly calculated, and obtained good agreement \sim 600 $(Fig. S7)$ 601

Using the PMFs as a function of topological configuration, we 602 computed the equilibrium probabilities of forming structures asso- ⁶⁰³ ciated with the rate-limiting folding step (Fig. 2D and Fig. 5) as 604 follows: First, we identified the cluster that the protein transitions 605 into during the rate limiting step. For MarR, this would be the 606 cluster consisting of [*abc, bc, bcd*]. We then identified the substruc- ⁶⁰⁷ tures that are formed in the least folded configuration assigned 608 \bullet this cluster (b and c for MarR), and computed the Boltzmann

⁶¹⁰ probability that the protein occupies any configuration in which at ⁶¹¹ least these substructures are formed. The minimum chain length at

⁶¹² which the step can occur (colored Xs in these plots) was defined as

⁶¹³ the first length such that, for each of the substructures identified

⁶¹⁴ above, at least one native contact belonging to that substructure ⁶¹⁵ can form.

 Simulations with Native-only potential. These simulations for MarR at 100 residues and full MarR were run and analyzed as in the previous section, but with only native contacts found in the equi- librated structure contributing to the energy $(30, 31)$ $(30, 31)$ $(30, 31)$. The values for attraction between native contacts, as well as added modest repulsion between non-native contacts, were tuned so that the ratio of the ground state energies of full MarR and MarR, 100 residues is close to that in the full knowledge-based potential.

 Clustering nonnative contact maps. To cluster misfolded states in accordance with which non-native contacts are present, we made nonnative contact maps of all snapshots assigned to a given topo- logical configuration of interest at a set temperature range. The nonnative clusters for MarR in Fig. 3C include snapshots assigned to configuration *b*. We then assigned a distance between every pair of snapshots, defined as the Hamming distance between the contact maps (including only non-native contacts that are not present in the equilibrated native structure), and defined a distance threshold such that pairs of snapshots whose distance is less than this threshold are defined as adjacent. We formed clusters by finding the disconnected components of the resulting adjacency matrix. For most proteins, a distance threshold of 100 produced clusters that are structurally distinct and well-defined, but the results are robust to this precise value. Having defined clusters, we produced non-native contact maps for each cluster by averaging the contact maps of snapshots assigned to that cluster. Each resulting average contact map depicts the frequency with which non-native contact maps are observed in a given set of structurally similar misfolded states.

From a distance the show that a sector whose elements and

is is less than this threshold are

clusters that are structurally

distance on the disconnected

distance on the Sure of negative ones.

clusters that are struct **Kinetic model of co-translational folding.** To model co-translational folding, we defined a set of length regimes, each of which corre- sponds to an interval of chain lengths for which the protein's folding properties are assumed to be constant. These folding properties are obtained by simulating a nascent chain at a length that is assumed to be representative of the length regime, and then applying the methods of the previous sections. At each length regime L, we 650 define $\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{T}}(t)$ as the vector of probabilities of occupying different clusters as a function of time at a given temperature T. Assuming 652 continuous-time Markovian dynamics, $\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{T}}(t)$ satisfies the master equation:

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{T}}(t) = \mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{L}}(T)\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{T}}(t)
$$
 [2]

Where $M^L(T)$ is a transition matrix whose entries are given by

$$
M_{ij}^L(T) = \begin{cases} \lambda_{j \to i}^L(T) & \text{if } i \neq j \\ -\sum_i \lambda_{j \to i}^L(T) & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}
$$
 [3]

Where the folding/unfolding rates $\lambda_{j\to i}^L(T)$ at length regime L are ⁶⁵⁸ computed as described previously.

⁶⁵⁹ At each length L, the master equation is solved for an amount 660 of time τ_L corresponding to the total time spent at length L, given an initial probability distribution $\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{0})$. At the first length 662 regime at which folding can occur, $\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{0})$ is assumed to be one at ⁶⁶³ the cluster containing the unfolded state (topological configuration 664 \emptyset) and zero elsewhere. After time τ_L , the probability $\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{T}}(\tau_L)$ becomes the new initial distribution, $\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{L}',\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{0})$ at the next length $res₆₆₆$ regime L' , and the master equation is solved again given a new $\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{L}'}(T)$. In case cluster c at length L does not have an exact 668 match at length L', then for each cluster c' at length L', we define a ⁶⁶⁹ similarity between c and c' as the average number of substructures ⁶⁷⁰ that must be formed or broken to transition from a topological 671 configuration in c to one in c'. We then find the c' that is most similar to c, and propagate element c of $\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{T}}(\tau_{\mathbf{L}})$ to element c' of $\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{L}'}, \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{0})$. The time spent at a given length regime τ_L is computed using: 747.674

$$
\tau_L = \tau_{\rm fast} N_{\rm fast}^L + \tau_{\rm rare} N_{\rm rare}^L
$$

Where $\tau_{\rm fast}$ and $\tau_{\rm rare}$ are the average times to translate a fast and \rm \rm a rare codon, respectively, while N_{fast}^L and N_{rare}^L are the numbers 677 of fast and rare codons in the length regime L. The values of τ_{fast} 678 and τ_{rare} relative to characteristic folding times are unknown, and τ_{rare} varied as free parameters as described in the main text. 680

In addition to computing how probability distributions evolve 681 in time, we can compute the mean time to completion of synthesis 682 and folding τ_{total} (Fig. 4C). To do this, we solve and propagate the 683 probabilty distribution until the fully synthesized length regime F 684 $is reached, then evaluate the sum$ 685

$$
\tau_{\text{total}} = \sum_{L} \tau_{L} + \sum_{c} P_{c}^{F,T}(0) \tau_{\text{fold, c}}^{\text{F}} \tag{5}
$$

Where the second sum is over clusters in the full length F, $P_c^{F,T}(0)$ 687 is the initial probability of occupying cluster c (obtained by propa- ⁶⁸⁸ gating from the penultimate length regime as described above), and ⁶⁸⁹ $\overline{\tau}_{\mathrm{fold},\text{ c}}^{\mathrm{F}}$ is the mean first-passage time to reach the cluster containing 690 the folded cluster starting from cluster c. This mean first passage 691 time is obtained by setting an absorbing boundary at the folded 692 cluster and solving the equation: ⁶⁹³

$$
(\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{L}}(T))^{\mathsf{T}} \ \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\text{fold}}^{\mathbf{F}} = -\mathbf{1} \tag{6}
$$

Where $(\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{L}}(T))^{\intercal}$ is the transpose of the transition matrix, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\text{fold}}^{\text{F}}$ 695 is a vector whose elements are the mean first passage times to the ⁶⁹⁶ folded cluster from each initial cluster c, and the right hand side is 697 a vector of negative ones. 698

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The computations in this paper were 699 run on the Odyssey cluster supported by the FAS Division of Science, ⁷⁰⁰ Research Computing Group at Harvard University. AB was funded 701 by the National Science Foundation GRFP (DGE1745303) and the ⁷⁰² Harvard Molecular Biophysics Training Grant (PI: James M Hogle, ⁷⁰³ NIH/ NIGMS T32 GM008313). WMJ was funded by NIH grant ⁷⁰⁴ F32GM116231. ES was funded by NIH grant R01 GM124044 705

References. 706

- 1. Naganathan AN, Muñoz V (2005) Scaling of folding times with protein size. *Journal of the* 707 *American Chemical Society* 127(2):480–481. 708
- 2. Houwman JA, van Mierlo CP (2017) Folding of proteins with a flavodoxin-like architecture. 709 *FEBS Journal* 284(19):3145–3167. 710
- 3. Suren T, et al. (2018) Single-molecule force spectroscopy reveals folding steps associated 711 with hormone binding and activation of the glucocorticoid receptor. *Proceedings of the Na-* 712 *tional Academy of Sciences* 115(46):11688–11693. 713
- 4. Scholl ZN, Yang W, Marszalek PE (2014) Chaperones rescue luciferase folding by separating 714 its domains. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 289(41):28607–28618. 715
- 5. Sohl JL, Jaswal SS, Agard DA (1998) Unfolded conformations of α -lytic protease are more 716 stable than its native state. *Nature* 395(6704):817–819.
- 6. Kerner MJ, et al. (2005) Proteome-wide analysis of chaperonin-dependent protein folding in 718 Escherichia coli. *Cell* 122(2):209–220. 719
- 7. Weaver J, et al. (2017) GroEL actively stimulates folding of the endogenous substrate protein 720 PepQ. *Nature Communications* 8. 721
- 8. Döring K, et al. (2017) Profiling Ssb-Nascent Chain Interactions Reveals Principles of Hsp70- 722 Assisted Folding. *Cell* 170(2):298–311. 723
- 9. Yam AY, et al. (2008) Defining the TRiC/CCT interactome links chaperonin function to sta- 724 bilization of newly made proteins with complex topologies. *Nature Structural and Molecular* 725 *Biology* 15(12):1255–1262. 726
- 10. Chakrabarti S, Hyeon C, Ye X, Lorimer GH, Thirumalai D (2017) Molecular chaperones max- 727 imize the native state yield on biological times by driving substrates out of equilibrium. *Pro-* 728 *ceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 114(51):E10919–E10927. 729
- 11. Taipale M, et al. (2012) Quantitative analysis of Hsp90-client interactions reveals principles 730 of substrate recognition. *Cell* 150(5):987–1001. 731
- 12. Jacobs WM, Shakhnovich EI (2017) Evidence of evolutionary selection for cotranslational 732 folding. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 114(43):11434–11439. 733
- 13. Chaney JL, et al. (2017) Widespread position-specific conservation of synonymous rare 734 codons within coding sequences. *PLoS Computational Biology* 13(5):e1005531. 735
- 14. Holtkamp W, et al. (2015) Cotranslational protein folding on the ribosome monitored in real 736 time. *Science* 350(6264):1104-1107.
- 15. Buhr F, et al. (2016) Synonymous Codons Direct Cotranslational Folding toward Different 738 Protein Conformations. *Molecular cell* 61(3):341–51.
- 16. Bartoszewski R, et al. (2016) Codon bias and the folding dynamics of the cystic fibrosis 740 transmembrane conductance regulator. 741
- 17. Fu J, et al. (2016) Codon usage affects the structure and function of the Drosophila circadian 742 clock protein PERIOD. *Genes & development* 30(15):1761–75. 743
- 18. Kimchi-Sarfaty C, et al. (2007) A "Silent" Polymorphism in the MDR1 Gene Changes Sub- 744 745 strate Specificity. *Science* 315(5811):525–528.
- 19. Ciryam P, Morimoto RI, Vendruscolo M, Dobson CM, O'Brien EP (2013) In vivo translation
674 rates can substantially delay the entrepelational folding of the Escheriphia soli extensio pro
- 747 rates can substantially delay the cotranslational folding of the Escherichia coli cytosolic pro-
- 448 675 748 teome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 110(2):E132–E140.
- 20. Yang JS, Chen WW, Skolnick J, Shakhnovich EI (2007) All-Atom Ab Initio Folding of a Diverse Set of Proteins. *Structure* 15(1):53–63.
- 21. Kussell E, Shimada J, Shakhnovich EI (2002) A structure-based method for derivation of all-atom potentials for protein folding. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 99(8):5343–5348.
- 22. Hubner IA, Deeds EJ, Shakhnovich EI (2006) Understanding ensemble protein folding at atomic detail. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* pp. 17747–17752.

 23. Samelson AJ, Jensen MK, Soto RA, Cate JHD, Marqusee S (2016) Quantitative determina- tion of ribosome nascent chain stability. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 113(47):13402–13407.

- 24. Liu K, Rehfus JE, Mattson E, Kaiser CM (2017) The ribosome destabilizes native and non-native structures in a nascent multidomain protein. *Protein Science* 26(7):1439–1451.
- 25. Seoane AS, Levy SB (1995) Characterization of MarR, the repressor of the multiple antibiotic
- resistance (mar) operon in Escherichia coli. *Journal of Bacteriology* 117(12):3414–3419. 26. Martin R, Rosner J (1995) Binding of purified multiple antibiotic-resistance repressor protein
- (MarR) to mar operator sequences. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 92(12):5456–5460.
- 27. Duval V, McMurry LM, Foster K, Head JF, Levy SB (2013) Mutational analysis of the multiple- antibiotic resistance regulator marR reveals a ligand binding pocket at the interface between the dimerization and DNA binding domains. *Journal of Bacteriology* 195(15):3341–3351.
- 28. Lane TJ, Pande VS (2013) Inferring the rate-length law of protein folding. *PLoS ONE* 8(12):e78606.
- 29. Gutin AM, Abkevich VI, Shakhnovich EI (1996) Chain length scaling of protein folding time. *Physical Review Letters* 77(27):5433–5436.
- 773 30. Shimada J, Kussell EL, Shakhnovich EI (2001) The folding thermodynamics and kinetics of 774 crambin using an all-atom Monte Carlo simulation. Journal of Molecular Biology 308(1):79- crambin using an all-atom Monte Carlo simulation. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 308(1):79–
- 31. Shimada J, Shakhnovich EI (2002) The ensemble folding kinetics of protein G from an all-atom Monte Carlo simulation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 99(17):11175–11180.
- 32. Beitlich T, Lorenz T, Reinstein J (2013) Folding properties of cytosine monophosphate kinase from E. coli indicate stabilization through an additional insert in the NMP binding domain. *PLoS ONE* 8(10):e78384.
- 33. Heidary DK, O'Neill JC, Roy M, Jennings PA (2002) An essential intermediate in the folding of dihydrofolate reductase. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 97(11):5866– 5870.
- 34. Inanami T, Terada TP, Sasai M (2014) Folding pathway of a multidomain protein depends on its topology of domain connectivity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 111(45):15969–15974.
- 35. Rodrigues JV, et al. (2016) Biophysical principles predict fitness landscapes of drug resis- tance. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 113(11):E1470–8.
- 36. Bhattacharyya S, et al. (2018) Accessibility of the Shine-Dalgarno Sequence Dictates N-Terminal Codon Bias in E. coli. *Molecular Cell* 70(5):894–905.e5.
- in June Library Market and *Library of Sciences*

of the National Academy of Sciences

perties of cytosine monophosphate kinase

tional insert in the NMP binding domain.

2) An essential intermediate in the folding

pional 37. Gervasini G, et al. (2017) Polymorphisms in ABCB1 and CYP19A1 genes affect anastro- zole plasma concentrations and clinical outcomes in postmenopausal breast cancer patients. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* 83(3):562–571.
- 38. Lazrak A, et al. (2013) The silent codon change I507-ATC->ATT contributes to the severity of the F508 CFTR channel dysfunction. *FASEB journal : official publication of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology* 27(11):4630–45.
- 39. McCarthy C, Carrea A, Diambra L (2017) Bicodon bias can determine the role of synonymous SNPs in human diseases. *BMC genomics* 18(1):227.
- 40. Purvis IJ, et al. (1987) The efficiency of folding of some proteins is increased by controlled rates of translation in vivo. A hypothesis. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 193(2):413–417.
- 41. Goodman DB, Church GM, Kosuri S (2013) Causes and effects of N-terminal codon bias in bacterial genes. *Science* 342(6157):475–479.
- 42. Kudla G, Murray AW, Tollervey D, Plotkin JB (2009) Coding-sequence determinants of ex-pression in escherichia coli. *Science* 324(5924):255–258.
- 43. Tuller T, et al. (2010) An evolutionarily conserved mechanism for controlling the efficiency of protein translation. *Cell* 141(2):344–354.
- 44. Pechmann S, Willmund F, Frydman J (2013) The Ribosome as a Hub for Protein Quality Control. *Molecular Cell* 49(3):411–421.
- 45. Chen WW, Yang JS, Shakhnovich EI (2007) A knowledge-based move set for protein folding. *Proteins* 66(3):682–688.
- 46. Jacobs WM, Shakhnovich EI (2016) Structure-Based Prediction of Protein-Folding Transition Paths. *Biophysical Journal* 111(5):925–936.
- 47. Husic BE, Pande VS (2018) Markov State Models: From an Art to a Science. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 140(7):2386–2396.
- 817 48. Shirts MR, Chodera JD (2008) Statistically optimal analysis of samples from multiple equilib-rium states. *The Journal of chemical physics* 129(12):124105.

PNAS www.pnas.org

Supplementary Information for

Co-translational folding allows misfolding-prone proteins to circumvent deep kinetic traps

Amir Bitran, William Jacobs, Eugene Shakhnovich

Eugene Shakhnovich Email: shakhnovich@chemistry.harvard.edu

This PDF file includes:

Figures S1 to S7 Tables S1 to S5 References

Table S1: List of PDB files used to simulate each protein

Figure S1: (A) Native contact map and substructures for MarR monomer. (B) and (C) Potentials of mean force (PMF) as a function of topological configuration for MarR at T = 0.55 T_M and T = 1.03 T_M, where T_M is the DNA-binding region melting temperature. As the melting transition is crossed, configurations with less native structure become more favorable. (D) Sample Arrhenius plots for MarR showing that rates of transition between clusters, indicated in table S2. (E) Probability of forming minimal set of substructures associated with each folding step as a function of length as in main text Fig. 2D, at various temperatures. Colors are the same as in Fig. 2D, but different marker styles indicate different temperatures. As the temperature approaches the dimer melting temperature T = 0.65 T_M, DNA binding region (substructures b and c) and dimerization region folding (substructures *a-d)* become less favorable, while the beta hairpin (substructure *b)* remains folded with high probability. But at all temperatures, a significant increase in DNA binding region stability is observed at length 100. (F and G) Same as (B) and (C) for 100 residue MarR nascent chain. The maximum substructures that can form at this chain length are *a, b,* and *c*. As shown in (F), the nascent chain at length 100 adopts a stable nativelike topology (*abc*) at low temperatures. (H) Average nonnative contact map for snapshots of MarR, 100 residues assigned to topological configuration *abc*. The probability of each nonnative

contact is indicated by color. Native contacts are shown in light gray in the background. (I) Minimum free energy relative to fully unfolded state as a function of chain length using the coarse-grained model in (2). A decrease in free energy around length 110 is observed that is analogous to our predicted rise in stability around length 100.

Figure S2: (A) Average energies of MarR snapshots assigned to topological configurations *b* (prior to rate-limiting step) and *abcdef* (maximally folded). A relatively small energy gap at low temperatures is indicative of non-native contacts stabilizing the b state.

(B - D) Folding rates as a function of temperature for nascent MarR at chain length 100 (B), chain length 112 (C) and fuly synthesized monomer (D). In each panel, each line refers to a transition between a given pair of clusters (see methods). Topological configurations included in each cluster are listed in Table S2. For each transition, we only plot rates at temperatures for which the free energy difference between the clusters involved in the transition is less than 10 kT—for differences higher than this, statistical convergence of PMFs becomes poor. Error bars are obtained by bootstrapping (see Methods). (E) Fraction of native contacts as a function of temperature for MarR chain at length 100 and fully synthesized MarR as a function of temperature in the natives-only potential. The 100 residue chain shows worse stability than in the complete potential, where it is stabilized by non-native contacts. (F) Same as Fig. 3B, but for different values of N, the threshold number of non-native contacts that must be broken during rate-limiting step for a snapshot to be declared trapped (see methods). As in Fig. 3B, dashed lines represent MarR chain at length 100 while solid lines are full MarR. Each color represents a different threshold. For all thresholds, the full protein experiences deeper traps at temperatures below $T \approx 0.88 T_M$, indicating that this result is robust to the choice of threshold over a range of values.

Table S2: Clusters for each MarR construct. Each cluster is defined as a set of topological configurations (listed above) that exchange quickly with one another relative to the timescale of exchange between clusters (see Methods). Native contact maps and substructures for MarR are shown above for reference. Other clusters that are not listed here are observed infrequently during unfolding simulations—these are not used for unfolding/folding rate calculations. For the full protein, we indicate which clusters are referred to in the text as having the beta hairpin region folded, DNA binding region folded, or being fully folded.

Figure S3: (A) Probability of occupying various MarR folding intermediates as a function of time assuming post-translational folding at $T = 0.55$ T_M, for the same parameters and time period as in Fig. 4B. During this time period, nearly the entirety of the population remains kinetically trapped in the misfolded cluster 2 (red state with hairpin folded, but DNA binding region not folded). Color scheme is the same as in Fig. 4. (B) Fraction of homologous MarR sequences from sequence alignment enriched in rare codons as a function of sliding sequence window position , and associated p-value. Beginning around position 120, a large fraction of sequences contain rare codons. For details, see (2).(C) Same as main text Fig. 4B, except now assuming the slowest folding rate is 10^{-4} times the protein synthesis rate. Under this condition, folding is so slow compared to synthesis that the chain has insufficient time to fold co-translationally, even if rare codons are used. (D) Same as main text Fig. 4B, except now assuming the slowest folding rate is 0.02 times the protein synthesis rate (note change in x scale). Now, folding is fast enough that the protein folds co-translationally regardless of whether rare codons are used, so there is no benefit to slowing down. Arrows under plot indicate time spent in each length regime.

Figure S4: Summary of results for FABG (A) Native contact map and substructures for monomeric FABG. Crystal structures of the native tetramer and individual monomer are shown above the contact map. (B-E) Computed folding rate as a function of temperature at various nascent chain lengths for each transition. Topological configurations included in each cluster are listed in table S3. (F-H) Mean contact maps for the three most prevalent clusters among snapshots assigned to topological configuration A, prior to rate-limiting step. As with MarR, all clusters contain non-native contacts involving the C-terminus which must be broken before folding can proceed. (I) Fraction of homologous FabG sequences from sequence alignment enriched in rare codons as a function of sliding sequence window position , and associated pvalue. In kinetic modeling, when rare codons are included, we introduce a slowdown in synthesis between AAs 80-94, 125-138, and 179-192 (roughly 30 amino acids upstream of each rare stretch). (J) Sample kinetic model results for probability of occupying various FabG folding intermediates as a function of time, assuming total protein synthesis time is $\sim 10^5$ times faster than slowest folding time and no slowdown at rare codons (left) and slowdown by factor of 6 at rare codons (middle). We consider the following length regimes (indicated under x axis): 80-94

AAs (assumed to have folding properties of 85 AA chain), 95-175 AAs (folding properties of 128 AA chain), 175-192 AAs (folding properties of 181 AA chain), 192-244 AAs, and post-translation (the latter two regimes have properties of full 244 AA protein). At each length regime, each curve corresponds to the population that has undergone the respective folding step shown in panels (E-H) from which the folding properties are derived indicated by the same color. (K) Reduction in mean first passage time to complete folding and synthesis relative to posttranslational folding as a function of folding rate/synthesis rate ratio assuming various slowdowns at rare codons as in 4C (same colors). When folding is much slower than synthesis (ratio of \sim 10⁻⁶ to 10⁻⁴), slowing synthesis is beneficial because the rare codon stretch centered around position 115 allows the chain to take advantage of fast folding at the 85 residue length regime. Note that the y values in this region are relatively low due to incomplete stability of the native-like intermediate at this length, which results in relatively low yield. For intermediate ratios between $\sim 10^{-4}$ and 10^{-2} , the benefit due to co-translational folding increases, as the protein now has time to fold at the 128 amino acid length regime (where folding is slower than at length 85, but still faster than at full length). Slowing down synthesis is still useful, this time due to rare codon stretch centered around 155, which increases the time spent at the 128 amino acid length regime. For ratios of 10^{-2} and above, folding is fast enough that there is no need to slow down synthesis. Furthermore, the benefit due to co-translational folding starts to decrease due to this fast folding.

Table S3: Clusters for each FabG construct. In cases where the configurations assigned to a cluster at one chain length do not have an exact match at the subsequent length, we number clusters so as to indicate how population would be propagated to the next length based on structural similarity in kinetic model (see methods). For example, any population that occupies cluster 0 at length 181 are propagated to cluster 5 at length 244, even if the two clusters are not exactly alike. Likewise, any population in clusters 4 *or* 5 at length 128 are propagated to cluster 4/5 at length 181. These differences in cluster definition arise because at different lengths, different non-native contacts form during unfolding simulations, which dictate whether or not topological configurations are in fast exchange. We further note that for the fully synthesized FABG, the completely folded topological configuration is abcdefghij. However, we begin our unfolding simulations from state abcdef, since the fully folded state is thermodynamically disfavored when the protein is monomeric. We expect tetramerization will stabilize this fully folded state

Supplementary figure 5: Summary of results for CMK: (A) Native contact map and substructures for CMK. (B-D) Computed folding rate as a function of temperature at various nascent chain lengths for each transition. Topological configurations included in each cluster are listed in table S4 (E-F) Mean contact maps for the two most prevalent clusters among snapshots assigned to topological configuration A, prior to rate-limiting step. As with MarR and FabG, both clusters contain non-native contacts involving the C-terminus which must be broken before folding can proceed. (G) Fraction of homologous CMK sequences from sequence alignment enriched in rare codons as a function of sliding sequence window position, and associated p-value

Table S4: Clusters for each CMK construct. We note that the first folding step involves the formation of substructure *a* (not computed), but this transition involves the simple folding of a short-range antiparallel beta hairpin and is not expected to be rate limiting. We further note that our PMFs predict that state acdefg is slightly lower in free energy at physiologically reasonable temperatures than the state abcdefg in which all substructures are formed, although these two differ by a relatively minor conformational change.

Supplementary figure 6: Summary of results for DHFR: (A) Native contact map and substructures for DHFR. (B-CD Computed folding rate as a function of temperature at various nascent chain lengths for each transition. Topological configurations included in each cluster are listed in table S5. (E) Mean nonnative contact map for snapshots assigned to ∅ topological configuration (prior to rate-limiting step in fully synthesized DHFR). Nonnative snapshots cannot be readily clustered due to sparsity and lack of recurrence of non-native contacts. (F) Free energy difference between trapped and non-trapped subensembles that have yet to undergo the rate-limiting step in full DHFR (5->3 transition), defined as in main text Fig. 3b. At physiological temperatures around $T = 0.9$ T_M, this free energy difference is nearly zero, indicating very shallow kinetic traps. (G) Average energy as a function of temperature for snapshots assigned to ∅ and *abcdefg* (fully folded) states. The energy gap between these states is relatively large due to a lack of substantial non-native contacts. This is in contrast to MarR, where the energy gap is much smaller between states prior to the rate-limiting step and the folded state owing to substantial non-native contacts (Fig. S2A). (H) Fraction of homologous DHFR sequences from sequence alignment enriched in rare codons as a function of sliding sequence window position, and associated p-value. Although conserved rare codons are present at the N-terminus of the sequence, they are not found at the C-terminus.

Table S5: Clusters for each DHFR construct. Note that although we did not construct a kinetic model for DHFR, if we did, cluster 4 at length 149 would be propagated to cluster 5 in the full protein.

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission. was not peer-reviewed) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. bioRxiv preprint first posted online Aug. 1, 2019; doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/721613.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/721613) The copyright holder for this preprint (which

Supplementary figure 7: Summary of results for HemK N-terminal domain: (A) Native contact map and substructures for HemK residues 1-85 (however, we only simulate up to length 74). (B-F) Computed folding rate as a function of temperature at various nascent chain lengths for each transition. Topological configurations included in each cluster are listed in table S6. This protein is small enough that, for all these nascent chain lengths, our algorithm predicts that folding transitions are fast enough to be observable within a reasonable simulation timescale at the temperatures at which the unfolding simulations were run. Indeed, reversible unfolding/folding events are observed within the unfolding simulations. For each transition, we plot the observed refolding rates as Xs alongside the respective predicted rate. In most cases, the rates agree within an order of magnitude. Deviations typically result from either 1.) misclassification, whereby trajectories are falsely classified as having transiently refolded, or 2.) the presence of unfolding events that do not result misfolded states that are predicted to slow folding. At length 54, no 1->0 refolding events are observed, consistent with the predicted slow rate for this step. (G) Free energy difference between trapped and non-trapped subensembles that have yet to undergo the rate-limiting step at length 74 (2->1 transition), defined as in main text Fig. 3b. At physiological temperatures around $T = 0.9$ T_M, this free energy difference is relatively small, around -4 $k_B T$, as compared to the differences in excess of -15 $k_B T$ observed for MarR. This indicates relatively shallow traps for HEMK. (H) Fraction of homologous HemK sequences from sequence alignment enriched in rare codons as a function of sliding sequence window position , and associated p-value. No statistically significant conserved rare codons are found in the N-terminal domain (residues 1-74)

Table S6: Clusters for each CMK construct. We note that for lengths 29 and 40, we skip the clustering step based on kinetic connectivity in our analysis (see methods), as applying this step leads to clustering together of topological configurations that are unreasonably different in free energy at physiological temperatures. This is why more clusters are present at length 40 as compared to other lengths.

References

- 1. Holtkamp W, et al. (2015) Cotranslational protein folding on the ribosome monitored in real time. *Science (80-)* 350(6264). Available at: http://science.sciencemag.org/content/350/6264/1104 [Accessed June 12, 2017].
- 2. Jacobs WM, Shakhnovich EI (2017) Evidence of evolutionary selection for cotranslational folding. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 114(43):11434–11439.